1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI		
2	EASTERN DIVISION		
3	DAVID M. BARNETT,	)	
4	Petitioner	· )	
5	vs.	) ) No. 4:03-CV-614 ERW	
6	DON ROPER,	)	
7	Respondent	) . )	
8			
9	TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE E. RICHARD WEBBER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11	021.2	Volume VI	
12		August 29, 2014	
	APPEARANCES:	Ma Dianahath II Canlada	
13 14	For Petitioner:	Ms. Elizabeth U. Carlyle 6320 Brookside Plaza #516 Kansas City, MO 64113	
15		Ms. Paula Kay Harms FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	
16		850 West Adams St., Suite 201 Phoenix, AZ 85007	
17		Mr. Richard H. Sindel	
18		Ms. Kathryn B. Parish SINDEL AND SINDEL, P.C.	
19		8000 Maryland Ave., Suite 350 St. Louis, MO 63105	
20	For Respondent:	Mr. Stephen D. Hawke	
21	ror nespondent.	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI P.O. Box 899	
22		Jefferson City, MO 65102	
23	REPORTED BY:	SUSAN R. MORAN, RMR, FCRR	
24		Official Court Reporter 111 South 10th Street	
25		St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 244-7983	

1	INDEX
2	PETITIONER'S WITNESSES
3	VICTORIA REYNOLDS, Ph.D
<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hawke
6	ERIC BARNETT Direct Examination by Mr. Sindel50
7 8	SHELBY CROSSEN  Direct Examination by Ms. Harms53  Cross-Examination by Mr. Hawke69
9 .0 .1	KATHY BURKETT  Direct Examination by Ms. Harms
.2	RITA REAMES  Direct Examination by Ms. Carlyle83  Examination by The Court94
.5	BARBARA ESHENRODER  Direct Examination by Mr. Sindel
. 8	EXHIBITS
.9	Offered Received PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS
20	s 52 52
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (The following proceedings were held in open court 2 on August 29, 2014 at 8:33 a.m.:) 3 THE COURT: Dr. Reynolds. Whenever you're ready, sir. 4 5 MR. HAWKE: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 VICTORIA REYNOLDS, Ph.D, 7 Having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as 8 follows: 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HAWKE: 10 11 Good morning. 12 Α. Good morning. 13 I have a few more questions that I need to ask this 14 morning in light of your testimony yesterday afternoon. And 15 you recall that you remain under oath; is that correct? 16 Correct. Α. 17 Now, as I read your report, you did not make an Axis 1 18 or Axis 2 or Axis 3 diagnosis of David Barnett; is that correct? 19 I didn't make a diagnosis on any Axis according the 20 DSM, that's correct. 21 22 And you did not use DSM Guidelines then in your 23 assessment of Mr. Barnett; is that correct? I wasn't making a diagnosis. 24 Α. 25 And you were not using the guidelines in DSM in your

- 1 description of Mr. Barnett; is that correct?
- 2 A. I don't think I understand your question.
- Q. DSM has certain guidelines, certain descriptors of conditions and events; is that correct?
- 5 A. Certain -- yeah, descriptors for making diagnoses, yes.
- Q. And since you were not making a diagnosis, you did not
- 7 | feel confined by those descriptions in DSM; is that correct?
- 8 A. To make a diagnosis, that's correct.
- 9 Q. Or to render an opinion about Mr. Barnett; is that correct?
- 11 A. I don't think I could parse out taking out a part of my
- 12 knowledge about the DSM and diagnosis, so I can't say it's
- 13 not available to me as I'm talking to him. It's knowledge
- 14 that I have in my mind, so --
- 15 Q. But you did not apply that knowledge in rendering your
- 16 poinion about David Barnett?
- 17 A. No, that wouldn't be correct.
- 18 Q. That would be correct?
- 19 A. No, that would not be correct. That is part of my
- 20 available knowledge base. But I was not making a diagnosis
- 21 so I didn't apply the use of the DSM criteria to make a
- 22 diagnosis.
- Q. Now, when you were describing David Barnett, you used
- 24 the term sexual abuse of David Barnett?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And how did you define that term? What did you mean when you used that term?
- A. When a parent takes pornographic pictures of a child, touches a child's genitals, touches them against their will, violates their sexual boundaries, I define that as sexual abuse.
- Q. Even though that is not the definition of sexual abuse in the DSM-5?
- 9 A. You're welcome to enlighten me about what that definition is.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with DSM-5?
- 12 A. Yes.

caregiver?

- 13 Q. What's the definition of sexual abuse in DSM-5?
- 14 A. I don't think they define sexual abuse.
- Q. Isn't it true that DSM-5, the definition of sexual
  abuse in DSM-5 require -- that the definition of sexual abuse
  in DSM-5 require that the sexual act involving the child be
  intended to provide sexual gratification to the parent or
- A. I would describe pornography as giving sexual gratification to the caregiver.
- 22 Q. Well, you draw that inference.
- 23 A. I don't know of any other definition of pornography.
- Q. So that one example fits that definition?
- 25 A. That example fits that definition well.

- Q. Can you describe for the Court how many episodes of child abuse has to occur in a child's childhood in order for
- 3 the effects of child abuse that you described to occur?
- 4 A. It can be anywhere from one incident, if we're talking
- 5 about traumatic incident, it can be a single incident
- 6 stressor or it can be chronic.
- 7 Q. And it's certainly possible that there could be
- 8 numerous events of child abuse that do not lead to those
- 9 characteristics?
- 10 A. What characteristics are you speaking of?
- 11 Q. The characteristics you testified about like
- 12 dissociation and anger management.
- 13 A. No, if we're talking about traumatic events, the things
- 14  $\parallel$  that I described are consistent with traumatic events,
- whether the traumatic event occurred one time or many times.
- 16 Q. And I understand that it's consistent, but it doesn't
- 17 automatically follow, does it?
- 18 A. Yes, if we're talking about by definition traumatic,
- 19 yes, those follow.
- 20 Q. Every time?
- 21 A. Yes, that is the definition of trauma, as opposed to an
- 22 event that is not traumatizing.
- Q. Okay. Now, you reviewed records provided to you by the
- 24 petitioner's counsel; is that correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And did those materials include Dr. Bruce Harry's
- 2 January 27, 1997 report?
- 3 A. I'm looking at my records here. Can you say his name
- 4 again, please?
- 5 Q. Bruce Harry.
- 6 A. Dr. Bruce Harry. I don't see that, no.
- 7 Q. Did those materials include Dr. Michael Gelbort's
- 8 September 6th, 1996 neuropsychological evaluation of David
- 9 Barnett?
- 10 A. I don't recall if it was in the summary of his medical
- 11 records. It may -- I may have, but I don't recall if it was
- in his summary of his medical records.
- 13 Q. Summary of medical records. Where were those medical
- 14 records from?
- 15 A. They were his hospitalizations and psychiatric records,
- 16 both medical and psychiatric.
- 17 Q. Okay. What hospital were they from?
- 18 A. From Jewish Hospital, Jewish Hospital, Hyland Center,
- 19 St. Louis Children's Hospital, Family Clinical and
- 20 Counseling, Glennon Children's Hospital, Cardinal Glennon
- 21 Children's Hospital.
- 22 Q. And were all of those records dated before
- 23 February 1996?
- 24 A. I couldn't say according to what I have listed in my
- 25 report. I didn't include the dates of all of those records.

- 1 Q. Would it refresh your memory if you took some time to
- go through those records again so you can answer questions?
- 3 A. If you'd like me to.
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 MR. HAWKE: I'd like this witness to refresh her 6 memory.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- A. And your question is when did the medical records begin and end?
- Q. Yes. And specifically is Dr. Gelbort's report in those medical records?
- 12 THE COURT: I think the question was were they
  13 before February 1996.
- MR. HAWKE: Yes, Your Honor.
- 15 A. Somebody is going to have to give me the records, I
  16 don't have them up here.
- 17 Q. I don't have them. Did you bring records with you?
- 18 A. No, I didn't.
- 19 Q. Oh.
- 20 A. You're welcome to hand them to me or we can just move
- on, and I couldn't answer your question.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know where Dr. Gelbort practices?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So you didn't notice that in the records you say that
- 25 Dr. Gelbort's report might be included in?

- 1 A. Right. I don't know if I saw it or not.
- 2 Q. Do you recall what his conclusion was?
- 3 A. As I said, I can't remember if I read the record.
- 4 Q. Okay. And I notice your report specifically says that
- 5 you reviewed the testimony of Dr. Schultz?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did you review the reports of Dr. Schultz?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what were the dates of those reports?
- 10 A. I would have to check what the dates of those reports
- 11 were. I read them, though.
- 12 Q. Okay. And looking at your report, where are they
- 13 listed?
- 14 A. Excuse me?
- 15 Q. When I look at your report, where will I find them
- 16 listed?
- 17 A. The reports of Dr. Schultz?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. It shows Schultz's trial testimony. I don't show the
- 20 Schultz report listed, although they would have, I'm
- 21 assuming, been part of the medical records.
- 22 Q. And on what basis do you make that assumption?
- 23 A. It's just in the way I group the summaries of what I
- 24 was reading, I considered psychiatric reports part of his
- 25 medical record.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, you consider psychiatric reports part of
- 2 his record, right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Now, Dr. Schultz is not a psychiatrist, she's a
- 5 psychologist; isn't that correct?
- 6 A. I don't know what her credentials are. I didn't hold
- 7 those in mind.
- 8 Q. Weren't those discussed in the trial testimony?
- 9 A. I'm sure they were. That's not something I kept track
- 10 of.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- MR. HAWKE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 13 THE COURT: Sure.
- 14 Q. Have you had an opportunity to look at those three
- documents that I handed to you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe for the Court what those three
- documents are, what they purport to be?
- 19 A. They purport to be a neuropsychological evaluation, a
- 20 psychosocial assessment, and a psychosocial assessment.
- 21 Q. And the two psychosocial assessments are from
- 22 Psychologist Schultz?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you seen those documents before?
- 25 A. I would have to look through them to know if these are

- 1 the ones exactly that I read, but they look familiar to me.
- 2 Q. Now -- and they look familiar to you even though they
- 3 are not specifically listed as documents you reviewed in your
- 4 report?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. Are there any other psychological or psychiatric
- 7 vevaluations of David Barnett that you reviewed that are not
- 8 specifically listed in your report?
- 9 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 10 Q. You reviewed -- you interviewed David Barnett for I
- 11 believe you said about 13, 14 hours as part of your
- 12 evaluation?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And were there other people that you interviewed?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You did not interview Eric Barnett?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Or Kris Barnett?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Or John Barnett?
- 21 A. No.
- 22  $\blacksquare$  Q. When you interviewed David Barnett, did you give him
- 23 the Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did he state that the traumatic life event for him was

- 1 the murder of his foster grandparents?
- 2 A. No, he did not.
- Q. What did he state was the traumatic life event for him?
- 4 A. There wasn't one. It's a questionnaire with a whole
- 5 variety of potential traumatic life events, and we went
- 6 through them. And he indicated whether he had been through
- 7 that or not.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did he indicate that a traumatic life event for
- 9 him had been the death of anybody in his family?
- 10 A. Yeah, losses. Yes, that's a category on the TLEQ.
- 11 Q. And he did affirmatively agree that there had been a
- 12 loss or a death in his family?
- 13 A. More than one.
- 14 Q. Okay. And did he include his foster grandparents as
- 15 people that he had lost in his family?
- 16 A. In the Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire, we didn't
- 17 discuss that.
- 18 Q. Okay. He did not volunteer that information?
- 19 A. He didn't endorse that in that item.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. Okay. And you did not follow up on that, did you?
- 21 A. There is a reason for that. In the field of trauma,
- 22 whether it's combat trauma, you don't necessarily consider
- 23 victimizing of another a traumatic experience for the person
- 24 who has done the victimizing. So if you've committed an
- 25 atrocity in war, for example, we don't consider that

- 1 traumatic victimization of the person you're talking to. Sc
- 2 it's not typical to ask about victimization of others when
- 3 I'm assessing for traumatic experiences of the individual.
- 4 Q. And that's true even when the person that is killed or
- 5 is murdered a family member?
- 6 A. It's generally the first principle is that if you
- 7 victimize another that we don't necessarily consider that a
- 8 traumatic event for the person.
- 9 Q. And he certainly didn't volunteer it as a traumatic
- 10 event to him?
- 11 A. He didn't describe it in losses.
- 12 Q. Okay. And did he ever express remorse to you over his
- 13 murder of his foster grandparents?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And did he express anger about the loss of his
- 16 foster grandparents?
- 17 A. I don't recall him expressing anger, no.
- 18 Q. He was able to control anger for that particular moment
- 19 when you were on that topic; is that correct?
- 20 A. That would be correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. Did you do any scaling of David Barnett in how
- 22 traumatized he had been? Was he like minorly traumatized,
- 23 averagely traumatized, major trauma?
- 24 A. I don't understand your use of those terms, that's not
- 25 how I think or how I use. So the answer to that is I don't

- 1 know what you mean by "scaling" in your terms.
- 2 Q. You're not able to describe that scientifically then
- 3 for the Court whether the amount of trauma he allegedly
- 4 received was minor or major?
- 5 A. I don't know -- you're using a lot of terms that don't
- 6 make sense in my profession. So you're using scaling, and it
- 7 doesn't make sense to me. That's not how I think about it.
- 8 Q. So the way you think about it is that a person is
- 9 either traumatized or not traumatized?
- 10 A. Well, if we're talking about the criterion for a
- 11 traumatic event, he certainly had a lot of traumatic events,
- as I described, you know, many traumatic events.
- 13 Q. Now, have you ever been certified to testify as an
- 14 expert in forensic psychology?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. And can you tell the Court when you were first
- certified to testify as an expert?
- 18 A. I'd have to look at my CV again about the first time I
- 19 testified. It would have been in 2013, I believe.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. And I understand that you're a clinical psychologist,
- 21 not a forensic psychologist; is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And I understand that you are a psychologist and not a
- 24 psychiatrist?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And that means that you do not have the training of a medical school behind you?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. And I understand from your testimony yesterday that you did not give a test to David Barnett, a psychological test to
- 6 David Barnett during your interviews?
- 7 A. Correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. When you are speaking to a person like David Barnett,
  and I'm speaking generally, not specifically about him, but
  generally speaking does the person that you speak to, do they
  always have an accurate memory of the trauma that allegedly
  happened to them?
  - A. No, there's no way for anybody to know if somebody's memory is entirely accurate. It's generally understood in the field that memory is variable, it's constructive, it's accurate. Traumatic memory in particular, though, has qualities that make certain details tend to be accurate.
  - Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that some people that you would interview or could interview might minimize the amount of trauma that they've experienced?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And it's fair to say that some people that you interview might overestimate the amount of trauma that they've experienced?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And isn't it true that some people might minimize their
- 2 role in the conflict that might be within a household?
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. Okay. During direct examination you made a comment
- 5 that David Barnett had good conduct while at the Missouri
- 6 Department of Corrections; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did you examine the list of conduct violations
- 9 that he had received?
- 10 A. I think I did, yeah.
- 11 Q. Can you describe for the Court what those conduct
- 12 violations were for?
- 13 A. Off the top of my head, I can't, no.
- 14  $\blacksquare$  Q. Would you disagree with the statement that David
- 15 Barnett had 11 conduct violations since his receipt into the
- 16 Missouri Department of Corrections?
- 17 A. I can't agree or disagree because I can't remember what
- 18 they were or how many.
- 19 Q. But you believe he had good conduct at the Missouri
- 20 Department of Corrections, even though you cannot remember
- 21 his conduct violations?
- 22 A. I read his Department of Corrections record, and I know
- 23 that he participates in the Potosi Training Program and that
- 24 that requires a certain conduct record that allows him to do
- 25 that. So from that, that defines in their definition good

- enough conduct to participate in that program.
- Q. Can you refresh my memory from your testimony yesterday of what dissociation is?
- A. Well, it's a complicated phenomenon where it basically
  means that things that belong together mentally and
  emotionally and behaviorally are separated. And then there
  are a variety of manifestations of that.
  - Q. Okay. And in your review of the materials, did you see any examples of David Barnett's dissociation in connection with the offense?
- 11 A. What events?
- 12 Q. Let's start with the murder of the foster grandparents.
- 13 A. Did I see evidence of dissociation?
- 14 Q. Yes.

9

10

24

- 15 I saw remembering things at different times, so when I 16 was watching the -- his interview, he would be reviewing the 17 steps or the details, and then he would remember something 18 and put something in that he had remembered. It seemed like 19 he increasingly remembered more as time went on. That could 20 be an indication of not remembering much being dissociated during the acts itself. It could be an indication of shock. 21 22 It could be an indication of a lot of things. So that's 23 probably what I noticed.
  - Q. So what you're describing, what I hear there is the symptomatology could have a variety of different sources, not

just childhood trauma; isn't that correct?

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. My -- when I describe David and dissociation, I'm

  specifically referring to the various ways in which he coped

  with the traumatic events of his childhood starting very

  early and continuing through his adolescence. So that

  adaptation was in place. It's not like it disappeared or

  went anywhere. It's still present today, the capacity to

  dissociate.
  - Q. And you don't consider his murder of the foster grandparents as a traumatic event, so there should not be dissociation connected with that; isn't that true?
  - A. Well, you're saying that somebody dissociates only during a traumatic event, and I've tried to explain that dissociation is an adaptation that shows up sometimes outside of traumatic events.
  - Q. And you do not diagnosis David Barnett as having post traumatic stress disorder, do you?
  - A. I did not make a diagnosis of David.
- Q. In your report you accurately recorded the things that
  David Barnett told you; is that correct?
- 21 A. To the best of my ability.
- Q. Okay. So if David Barnett told you something that was significant, it would be in the report; is that correct?
- A. There's a selection process when you -- by anyone's estimation when you put a report together -- if it were a

- transcript, it would be a direct reflection, which I'm not capable of writing that fast, so by it being a report,
- 3 there's a process of selection of what I put in and what
- 4 doesn't go in or what gets summarized rather than directly
- quoted. That's the process of applying perspective and
- 6 expertise. So I'm not sure what your question was.
- Q. Is it fair to say if you felt it was important, it would be in the report?
- 9 A. Yes. Yeah.
- 10 Q. So in answer to the Judge's question yesterday about
- 11 the bathtub situation, you stated that David Barnett told you
- 12 that someone hit him; is that correct?
- 13 A. He believed it was the woman sitting next to the
- 14 bathtub.
- Q. But on page 8, paragraph 11 of your report, you do not
- record that significant piece of information, do you?
- 17 A. In which an adult broke his nose, he described being in
- 18 the tub with another little girl. There's a female presence
- 19 by the side of the tub. Well, I do presume that somebody
- 20 reading that would understand that the person by the tub is
- 21 most likely the one who hit him as opposed to somebody who
- 22 wasn't in the room. I mean, that's just an assumption I make
- 23 about my readers.
- Q. Okay. Or it could well have happened that he slipped
- in the bathtub, isn't it?

- A. No, that's not at all what he described. That's not what I would deduce from anything he told me.
  - Q. But you do not include in this paragraph, "he said that the adult hit his nose"?
  - A. Well, there are probably lots of places in the report where I don't say "he said that." It's just I guess a stylistic writing thing when I string together a series of sentences, the implication or the implied understanding is that the subject here is the woman by the tub, and that an adult broke his nose, and the adult by the tub is the woman who broke his nose. If it wasn't explicit enough that's either a writing error or an error on the part of the reader in understanding my intent.
    - Q. My understanding exactly. Now, your methodology, you come up with a hypothesis about an interview or about a person, is that correct, that you're going to test?
  - A. I have ideas about what it is I want to find out more about.
  - Q. And yesterday you said you approached the David Barnett situation with two hypotheses?
  - A. Correct.

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . And can you refresh my memory about what those were?
- A. Well, I'm not sure I'll state exactly as I stated it
  yesterday, so if you want to read them back to me, I can say,
  yes, I said that. They were along the lines of I thought

- 1 that there was possibly sexual abuse very early in his 2 childhood and there was more sexual abuse that may have 3 happened with Mr. Barnett -- yes, Mr. Barnett than I thought,
- Okay. And once you have these hypotheses, you then look for information that supports those hypotheses; is that 7 correct?
  - Well, you also look for information that disconfirms it. That's the process.
- And what questions did you ask David Barnett searching 10 for information that would not confirm hypothesis one? 11
  - Not confirm that he had early childhood sexual abuse? Α.
- 13 Q. Yes.

5

6

8

9

12

with John Barnett.

- 14 Well, that is asking questions about the atmosphere 15 that he can remember, what he knows about where he grew up, 16 what the environment was like, including as we talked about 17 yesterday positive memories, attachments he had, how he was 18 doing in school, to get as broad an understanding of the context of his living situation as possible, and then as much 19 20 as I could find out about his attachments to those people that were his caretakers. 21
- 22 Did you ask him leading questions or open-ended 23 questions?
- 24 Α. Both.
- 25 Why did you ask him leading questions? Q.

- 1 A. Well, just the reason you ask me leading questions,
- 2 there are all sorts of questions to ask, and it doesn't
- 3 negate the answer if the question is leading, meaning leading
- 4 them to talk about a certain topic. So I would ask him to
- 5 talk about a certain topic.
- 6 Q. By your definition of child abuse, traumatic child
- 7 abuse, how many people in the United States have suffered
- 8 from traumatic child abuse?
- 9 A. I don't have that number in my head.
- 10 Q. Well, can you give the Court a range then of what that
- 11 | number might be?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Can you give the Court any help at all in trying to
- 14 figure out what that number might be?
- 15 A. Sure. The Department of Justice has good statistics on
- 16 | the rates of childhood maltreatment from, say, I think it's
- 17 probably 1973 or four to currently. And those change. You
- 18 know, they don't do those statistics every year, it's
- 19 something like every five years or so. And they are
- 20 excellent statistics on the rates of childhood maltreatment,
- 21 if you're talking about broadly maltreatment. And then they
- 22 | break it down by sexual abuse and physical abuse and neglect.
- 23 Q. But that's not the same thing as traumatic child abuse
- 24 that you have been trying to describe?
- 25 A. Childhood maltreatment and traumatic -- I'm not sure

- 1 what -- I'm not understanding your terms. You're parsing out
- 2 terms that I don't understand here.
- 3 Q. Ma'am, you used the statement "child maltreatment" --
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. -- as defined by the Department of Justice.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And you've been using the term "traumatic child
- 8 abuse" --
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. -- for the past 24 hours.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Those are your terms.
- 13 A. Fine. Yeah, I mean them to be the same thing.
- 14 Childhood maltreatment and abuse of children are defined -- I
- define them as the same thing, and I believe that those
- 16 statistics would reflect that.
- 17 Q. And is that 5 percent of the population?
- 18 A. As I said, I don't know. I don't know. You'd have to
- 19 look those up.
- 20 MR. HAWKE: Okay. I think that concludes my
- 21 questions, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. CARLYLE:
- 25 Q. Good morning.

- 1 A. Good morning.
- Q. Dr. Reynolds, just so we're clear on what you reviewed,
- 3 let me ask you to take a look at page 3 of your report.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. And do you list there all of the categories of records
- 6 that you included, that you reviewed?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And I know you -- I believe you testified yesterday
- 9 that your two categories of information were your
- 10 conversation with David Barnett and documents that you
- 11 reviewed?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you've also said that you have reviewed the
- 14  $\parallel$  videotapes of his confession and his reenactment, and you
- 15 heard Eric Barnett's testimony?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So when you said that you reviewed, I think you
- 18 called them records, did that include, for example, the
- 20 word "records" to mean documents?
- 21 A. Oh, yes. I'm sorry, yes.
- 22 Q. So they are not all records in the sense that they are
- 23 records of some agency or some hospitalization, okay.
- 24 Because in your report you list that you reviewed the
- 25 transcripts of the penalty phase, you reviewed police reports

- 1 connected with this offense?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And you reviewed some affidavits that were submitted
- 4 for post-conviction?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you reviewed the deposition of Shirley Acree?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you literally review thousands of documents?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you didn't list each document specifically in your
- 11 report, did you?
- 12 A. I didn't. I did group them. There were so many and so
- many pages that to make sense of it I grouped it by category.
- 14 And by big grouping like medical records and then say, for
- example, medical records from St. Anthony's. But within that
- 16 there may have been five or six different documents.
- 17 Q. And specifically within the records of David Barnett's
- 18 psychiatric treatment, there may have been evaluation reports
- in those records?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Did you tell David Barnett at the -- during your
- 22 assessment that you wanted him to tell you the truth?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you tell him it was important to do that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. What did you tell him about why he should tell you the truth?
- A. That I couldn't make a fair evaluation of what he had
  been through, and I wouldn't necessarily except by

  contradiction or something that contradicted something I read
  knowing if he was telling the truth. So it was beholden on
- 7 him to tell the truth, and he said he would.
- Q. Now, you said that he had that during you told

  Mr. Hawke that David Barnett had expressed remorse for the

  murder of his adopted grandparents. Do you remember what he

  said about that?
  - A. I'm thinking what he said. Well, he said he was very sorry for having done that. He understood how many people he had hurt in addition to the victims. That's what I basically remember.
    - Q. Okay. Did he take full accountability and responsibility for the murders?
  - A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you were asked a little bit yesterday about the VA screening instrument that you had used when you were working at the VA. Is that an instrument -- a test that's used to determine what areas need to be further explored for treatment?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And were you asked to treat David Barnett?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Was there any reason to do a screening test for
- 3 David Barnett?
- 4 A. No. The referral question was the screening from the
- 5 team.
- 6 Q. And we'll get to that in a second. But the screening
- 7 test itself doesn't diagnose sexual abuse or traumatic
- 8 events, does it?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And, again, I'd refer you to page 3 of your report.
- 11 You were given a referral question, which I think you state
- 12 there. And if you will just please tell us what it was, what
- 13 you were asked to do.
- 14 A. I was asked to evaluate his history of traumatic
- 15 exposures from early childhood through his adulthood, and
- specifically to evaluate the possibility of childhood
- 17 maltreatment and neglect, physical abuse, sexual abuse, early
- 18 losses, and then to assess the various impacts of those
- 19 potential exposures.
- 20 Q. So you weren't specifically asked to evaluate the
- 21 positive influences in David Barnett's life?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. Does your report include some instances of
- 24 positive experiences and influence in his life?
- 25 A. It's important when -- again, this goes back to what

- makes trauma. Trauma in a child's life, if it's coming from
- a caretaker, the experience of attachment and the good
- 3 experiences, no matter how small or infrequent, make those
- 4 betrayals all the more potent for the child. So in the
- 5 course of evaluating trauma, it's always the case that we
- 6 talk about positive memories, good feelings. You know,
- 7 whether, again, they are warranted or they are very
- 8 infrequent, the child or the person talking to me always has
- 9 some, yeah.
- 10 Q. Okay. But you didn't attempt a comprehensive catalog
- of all the good things that had ever happened to David
- 12 Barnett?
- 13 A. No, this was an assessment of lifetime trauma.
- 14 Q. Okay. And does your report fairly and impartially
- 15 answer the referral question?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is there a scientific test for determining whether an
- adult has been sexually abused as a child?
- 19 A. No.
- 21 scale David Barnett in the area of -- in the amount of
- 22 trauma. Was what happened to David Barnett in your
- 23 experience a typical childhood upbringing?
- 24 A. No, it was not typical.
- 25 Q. What was atypical about it?

- Atypical was how early the traumatic experiences began 1 2 and how long they persisted and how unfortunately they added 3 up at various phases of his development, that stands out. It's remarkable really how many -- just when he might have 4 5 been getting his feet underneath him or just when something might have happened that would help him to recover and 6 7 integrate the earlier traumas, yet another loss or experience
- of abuse by a caretaker would happen. That's notable about 9 his history. And it really continued all the way through his
- adolescence. 10

- 11 So his traumatic experiences started early; is that correct? 12
- 13 In the first days of his life. Α.
- 14 Continued until -- really until this offense occurred?
- 15 It looks like it. I mean, the last -- the last Α. 16 experience of physical abuse by John Barnett occurred I think 17 when he was probably 15 or 16, so it kept going.
- And then he began to be, for example, the victim of Q. domestic violence from Secil after that? 19
- 20 That's correct. Α.
- And in addition to them going on for a long time, there 21 22 were a lot of them, weren't there?
- 23 You know, some kids have experiences where they have child maltreatment, it just kind of stays in the physical 24 25 abuse realm and verbal and emotional, it doesn't enter a

sexual violation. He had that, and then he had the kind of emotional abandonments and betrayals by caretakers that are part of those other abuses, but they are also distinct in and of themself. So he unfortunately hit a lot of the categories of what we call interpersonal trauma, and those are the most complex traumas for people to remain resilient or recover from.

- Q. As a matter of fact, about 20 pages of your report is a list of the traumatic experiences he's gone through, isn't it?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. Now, you were asked whether Mr. Barnett volunteered the murder of his adoptive grandparents as a traumatic event in his life. Was that a question you asked him?
  - A. As I explained, I wouldn't ask him because he was -- he was victimizing another. I could ask the victim -- I mean, I obviously can't, but it's not appropriate. In addition, he was taking responsibility for this as something he had done, not an experience of victimization that he had been through.
  - Q. Could any scientist, either now or back in 1997, dissect David Barnett's psychology into a pie chart of percentages of the various influences on it?
- 23 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Let me ask you -- let's go back for a moment to this issue of the broken nose. Let me ask you to take a look

- at page 8 of your report again. Does your report say that
  this is an incident in which an adult broke his nose?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And it also mentions that there's someone -- that he's
- 5 in the tub and there's a female presence next to the tub?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 Q. From your conversation with Mr. -- with David Barnett,
- 8 was it clear to you that that person hit him on the nose?
- 9 A. It was very clear to me.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, you're not a lawyer, right?
- 11 A. I am not a lawyer.
- 12 Q. Okay. So when you're asked whether you asked -- let me
- 13 go back just for a moment. Let me ask you also to take a
- 14  $\parallel$  look at page 9 of your report, specifically paragraph 13.
- 15 And is this part of your discussion of the nose injury?
- 16  $\blacksquare$  A. It is. The description in the report of the nose
- 17 injury is contextualized pretty heavily in terms of how it is
- 18 that he remembered and why it is he remembered that way. And
- 19 ■ that is consistent with two things. One, his developmental
- 20 age. We talked yesterday about narrative memory versus other
- 21 kinds of memory. And he wasn't at an age where he would have
- 22 | full narrative memory. But then also traumatic memory tends
- 23 to be fragmentary, and at that age it tends to be recalled as
- 24 somatic physical sensation. And that's how he described that
- 25 memory to me.

Q. Right. But in paragraph 13, you mention that there was an adult female present, don't you?

- A. Yeah, I said, "It's notable that David has no memory of the incident causing the adult female present to express emergent care or concern." In other words, his memories of the injury and the assaults and the blood, but he has no memory of that adult reaching out to him to comfort him or anything like that.
- Q. So let me loop back a little bit here. Mr. Hawke asked you if you asked Mr. Barnett leading questions. And you said -- or non-leading questions and you said both. Let me just ask you because "leading question" is kind of a lawyer term and you're not a lawyer. What do you understand by the term leading questions, when you say you asked him leading questions?
  - A. Well, I think of a leading question as giving information and then soliciting. So I might say something like, I want to talk about your experiences before you may even be able to remember them well, and then so I provide context. I'm leading him into the area in which we will begin talking.
  - Q. So a leading question for you is what you ask instead of just saying, okay, tell me everything that happened in your whole life?
  - A. Well, that's right. And it's -- as we talked about in

- terms of the method and why I'm an expert in trauma and not something else, there are really specific ways in which you create the possibility that that person is going to be able to remember, to remember details, and to remember as accurately as possible. So that's I guess how I -- I misunderstood the term "leading question."
  - Q. I don't -- I don't know that you misunderstood it, I just wanted to make sure we were -- that I understood your concept of it. Because as I said, it is a particular legal concept that I wouldn't expect you to understand, so that was why I was asking you.
  - Now, Mr. Barnett was -- has been in the Department of Corrections since 1997, hasn't he?
- 14 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

- Q. And I believe Mr. Hawke mentioned that during that period of time he's had 11 conduct violations. You heard Mr. Hawke say that?
- 18 A. I did.
- Q. He didn't ask you the -- he didn't lay out for you exactly what those conduct violations were, did he?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And you know -- do you know -- do you frequently review prison records in connection with your work?
- A. I do. And my recollection is they were minor infractions. But, again, because I can't remember

- specifically, I don't know what those infractions were or even how many.
- Q. But you know that conduct violation in the prison system lexicon means a wide range of behavior?
- 5 A. I do.
- Q. From things like sleeping through count all the way up to killing somebody?
  - A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Now, Mr. Hawke asked you I think a question about post
  10 traumatic stress disorder, and you said you hadn't made that
  11 diagnosis. You didn't make any diagnosis, did you?
- 12 A. I didn't make any diagnosis.
- 13 Q. Nor did you rule out any diagnosis, did you?
- 14 A. No, that wasn't --
- Q. Is there any scientist now or in 1997 who could
  actually give a percentage about the relative effect of
  genetic and biologic factors versus environmental factors on
  a person's personality and psychological makeup?
  - A. No.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What -- you mentioned that when you were interviewing people about trauma in their early history that they began to provide details that tend to be accurate. Say a little more about that. What kinds of details are you talking about?
  - A. Often the sensory details are accurate. The centrality of them is something that has to be determined in the course

of the assessment. But when people lack explicit memory, and by that I mean that narrative memory we were talking about, very often they will encode the sensory environment and then they will also remember that either by telling me, this is what my body is doing, or their body will be doing it, and I will say what's happening, and then they — and it may be connected to that traumatic event. So those tend to be fairly robust indicators of what was happening at the time of the trauma.

- Q. So, for example, I think you told us yesterday that when David was telling you about this nose injury, he also reported to you that he actually began to experience a feeling of constriction in his nose and inability to breathe, which was the same thing he was describing to you?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, in assessing what -- in preparing your report and assessing the traumatic events in David's life, did you look for corroboration of what he told you?
- A. Yes, I always look for corroboration.
- Q. And in this case that would include the records you had and the affidavits and the other testimony?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you intentionally leave out details from your interview with David, leave them out of your report if you thought they were important?

A. Not if they were important, no.

- Q. And as -- I know you said you had -- you went into the evaluation with some hypotheses and areas you wanted to explore. When you wrote your report did you ignore
- information that disconfirmed those hypotheses?
  - A. No, I didn't. What the report reflects is the attempt to integrate information that would that without an understanding of trauma seems disconfirming. For example, his attachment and bond to caretakers that objectively we might look at their behavior and say how could any child love that parent or want to live with that parent, say Robert Biggerstaff, or how could any child in a prolonged way live with a foster parent who is doing this, wouldn't they just run and call the police? So these what appear to be contradictory behaviors are understandable in the context of

how children adapt to trauma and survive that experience.

- Q. And I think there was a question raised yesterday about -- you gave some testimony about David Barnett's actions since the trial, and I just wanted to clarify.

  Information about the effect of trauma on the human personality was available at the time of trial as it is now, wasn't it?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Dr. Reynolds, can David Barnett now or back in 1996 act independently of his history of trauma including physical

abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, neglect and 1 2 abandonment? 3 Α. No. Can he -- is he free from his past history, whatever he 4 5 does? 6 Α. No. 7 MS. CARLYLE: I don't have any more questions. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY THE COURT: I want to get a better understanding of the totality of 10 the sexual events that you believe contributed at least to 11 preventing him from acting independently of various events. 12 13 Early in your testimony there was a reference to a 14 report, an investigative report, where he had rather 15 extensively discussed events leading up to the death of his 16 grandparents. 17 THE COURT: And I quess this is more directed to 18 counsel, will I see that report? It was referenced but it was never received in evidence. 19 MR. SINDEL: Maybe if we could approach the side 20 bar, because I want to make sure I understand. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 (The following proceedings were held at the bench and outside the hearing of open court:) 24

MR. SINDEL: I just want to make sure. There was a

police report. There were a couple of police reports that 1 2 were prepared by the Glendale Police Department. And I 3 believe --MS. CARLYLE: No, wait, are you talking -- I'm sorry 4 5 to interrupt, but are you talking about a report about the murders? 6 7 THE COURT: No. 8 MS. CARLYLE: Okay. I'm sorry. 9 THE COURT: There was a lot of discussion about what he had said to his trial team about events of his life. And 10 then there was a discussion about some -- apart from the 11 12 trial team, what the trial lawyers asked him, there was 13 discussion about an investigative report, which I interpreted 14 as meaning some investigators for lawyers had prepared. And 15 it detailed a lot more information than what his lawyers 16 seemed to know and asked him about. And I'd like to see that 17 report. 18 MR. SINDEL: I think, first of all, it's part of the 19 legal file. And, secondly, I can make it an exhibit if 20 there's no objection. But just to be sure --21 MS. CARLYLE: Do you think you know what you're talking about? 22 23 MR. SINDEL: I can ask. 24 MS. CARLYLE: I'm not sure.

MR. SINDEL: I think you're asking, there's a report

prepared in 1996, I think it was September 26th, '96, but it doesn't say who prepared it, but apparently it was part of the preparation process for Eric Barnett's testimony.

MS. CARLYLE: For Eric Barnett, yes.

MR. SINDEL: So I can certainly submit that if there's no objection. Because we did ask Eric Barnett about whether or not there were things he had said in that report.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SINDEL: So I'll put that out and mark it.

MS. CARLYLE: I'm sorry, my conclusion I thought we were talking about an interview with David Barnett, and I didn't think I had one of those. Okay.

(The following proceedings continued within the hearing of open court:)

## BY THE COURT:

Q. I'd like to get a better understanding of the totality of the abhorrent or the sexual experiences of Mr. Barnett from his earliest years through 1996. Dr. Schultz says in her testimony in the trial, "Mr. Barnett said he is not sure if he was physically or sexually abused while living with his family of origin." And that would have been relatively early in his life. There was something that was mentioned about him being held by someone, a female, who was not perhaps entirely clothed. I remember that event.

So at least -- and I realize that certain kinds of

memory can be suppressed. I understand that concept. But just based on what she was saying, he did not recall anything about any kind of physical or sexual abuse in his family of origin. And he also said that — and the hospital records — and that's also stated in the hospital records in 1992. So there is little known at the time of the trial about his sexual abuse at least up until four years before the death of his grandparents.

And then it says among the hotline allegations only in November 1993, that was the only hotline that was substantiated, and there was reason to suspect physical and sexual abuse of the three boys; David, Kris, and Eric. And then she said when she interviewed him, she asked Mr. Barnett what happened and he said nothing happened.

So at least through -- up until the time of trial, there is substantial questions about any sexual abuse at all that at least he had revealed.

And what I want to do is go through from memory what I have about specific sexual events. Clearly there was a photograph that Mr. John Barnett took of him coming from the shower. Mr. Barnett was sensitive about that, raised it, complained about it. Mr. Barnett said something to the effect, well, we're all grown up, we're all men here. There was that event.

There was the event of him being sexually abused by

an 18-year-old babysitter at the time he was 11 years old
where she encouraged him to touch all parts of her body
including her pubic area.

He saw a lot of sexual misconduct by John Barnett of Kris and Eric.

He also experienced personally sitting on the lap of John Barnett many times, being kissed, being fondled. But in his experience it was outside of his clothing.

There was evidence of aggressive sexuality by Secil Blount.

And so I have all of that firmly in mind. What I want to know, what else is there in the record, the totality of the record that I haven't described concerning sexual misconduct by anyone against Mr. Barnett?

- A. One thing that I didn't hear you mention was the pictures John Barnett took of David and his brothers revealing pulling their pants down to expose their genitals while they were asleep.
- Q. Yes, that's true.

A. So the experience of that personally for him but also then knowing that this happened to his brothers. So the witnessing of, you know, and then the personal experience.

There were other things I mentioned in the report when he was living with John Biggerstaff and Deborah Corder, where a family friend, it may have been a family member, but

he just remembers that it was an older male. He was four or five, took him down the street, and began having sexual relations with his girlfriend in front of David, and telling him this is — I want you to watch. He was very explicit about I want you to watch, and this is what you're going to be doing with your girlfriends.

When -- and now I want to try to describe why I say the evidence that you gave about the specific acts that Mr. Barnett, John Barnett, did to David are one thing. The atmosphere that he created in the household of the potential for something going farther in addition to the violations that you mentioned that would be uncomfortable for a child or scary or just made them feel unsafe, he also created household rituals and rules in which boundary, he would cross sexual boundaries. And Eric described one where he would take Eric's clothes and hide them so Eric had to run by him while he was naked.

While David can't and doesn't describe some of those things happening to him, it doesn't mean that they didn't happen. The reason I say that is twofold. One is that males are extremely reluctant to endorse these kinds of events for all the reasons that Eric mentioned. I think he said it took him 20 years to actually get to the details of his penis being touched or being in the bed with John Barnett.

While I didn't present evidence of those because

David didn't tell me, in my professional opinion I would say that those experiences probably happened similarly to David, but because I can't -- he can't tell me, I can't say for sure, it's just my knowledge of how these things happen and how males tend -- some will never tell them, they will take them to their grave. And I've heard men tell me that.

So that's -- those are not hard evidence, but I consider it in the context it's likely more happened to David than he even told me.

And the denials that you mentioned, retractions when asked by the police or by DFS, that's also not unusual. It's not just in male children that we see that, we see that in all children or many, many children who make allegations, and then when under investigation they will say that didn't happen. Some of that is out of protection and fear about what's going to happen to them, what's going to happen in terms of punishment to the caretaker.

It's also context dependent as I spoke about that in any given moment, we remember more or less about things. And we particularly remember less about things that we don't want to remember and that are very painful. So that even though David may have been able under certain conditions to say, yes, John Barnett touched me or I did have these experiences or in his early childhood he may have, the context in which those — that information becomes available to him matters.

And usually a somewhat — a high-stakes interview where somebody is going to get punished and somebody is going to lose is not a great environment for disclosing details.

And we see this in children, it's very common for them to retract. It doesn't mean that it didn't happen. We just know the phenomenon of retraction happens.

In terms of his early exposure, what he remembered about being -- I told you the story of being taken down the street by that man and his girlfriend and remembering the -- being in an environment where women were naked and touching him and he was seeing women's bodies and watching adults have sex. I do consider that sexual -- it's within the context of neglect, but that kind of exposure of the child repeatedly to adult sexual situations has an effect.

And David told very clearly more about the effects than his actual memory, that he was not -- and I go through this quite a bit in my report -- that he wasn't very interested in sexual activity when his peer group was beginning to get interested. He was -- he was avoidant. He avoided sexual intercourse. He had a bad feeling about it. He felt that there was something wrong with him. Girls would pressure him when he was, you know, having kind of sexual activity, why he wasn't more sexual, why he wasn't getting aroused.

Q. You said girls. That would include something -- the

1 18-year-old episode, the girl, and Secil Blount. Were there
2 any other girls?

- A. I mean just girlfriends, girlfriends that he would have along the way.
- Q. He described having girlfriends?

- A. Yes, he described having girlfriends.
  - Q. And that they were trying to sexually arouse him and he was not interested?
  - A. Yeah, he was having trouble getting achieving well, once he began to have sexual intercourse, he had sexual functioning problems. And, again, it's not very satisfactory. And I note it can be contentious, but one of the things we know about trauma is that sometimes you don't get the clear memory, you don't get a disclosure of the memory, what you get afterward are the imprints of it, of the behaviors. And for the purpose for clinical purposes that's often what matters is the imprint and how it impairs that person.

And he had many imprints early of sexual abuse that may have begun earlier with John Barnett that he can say, but also earlier in his upbringing with the Biggerstaffs and in Deborah Corder's home. So he had a lot of sexual functioning problems that came out in chosen relationships. These were peer initiated and developmentally appropriate sexual contacts that he had.

Q. I want to talk about something that Mr. Hawke raised.

Lots and lots of evidence in this case about neglect,

mistreatment, abandonment by his biological family. I want

to try to get a better understanding of the total picture of

trauma that would cause him to be insufficiently able to act

independently, separately and apart from emotional, physical,

sexual abuse and emotional -- all of the emotional issues

that he suffered as a very young child.

It's my belief, and tell me if I'm wrong, that every human that ever lived has some trauma.

- A. Yeah. They've changed the definition of "trauma" from something that's out of the ordinary, they've taken that definition out of it because these events in part are ubiquitous in human existence.
- Q. And my impression in Mr. Hawke using the word

  "scaling," he was trying to get to determine some level of

  trauma concerning Mr. Barnett. What I would like to know, to

  the extent it's possible to learn that, is it possible to

  assess the degree of trauma he suffered from all of the

  various events of his life? Some people suffer trauma in

  their lives to the extent they become catatonic, they

  cannot they have totally lost connection with reality. I

  sense that's not what we're talking about with Mr. Barnett.

Is there some way to know the degree or level of -- or can it be any way described as a degree of trauma that he

suffered pre the death of his grandparents? He obviously is able to function well in terms of his work with prison ministries, and the freedom he's been given in prison suggests that he is not the kind of person that has to be watched 24 hours a day, but is — it may not be possible, I just am curious, is there any way to know how severe, is there any charts, is there any way to better define the level of the trauma that you believe he suffered?

A. Well, I'm thinking into the literature on the totality of events. And the way we do it in our field is we describe it as a dose response. So there's a curve of dose and response. And if we think of dose as the number of traumatic events and the type of traumatic events, what we do know is that there will be a point after which the number of traumatic events that a child experiences, they will inevitably have impairment and symptoms. Exactly what impairment, exactly what symptoms, and it says nothing about their recovery from them, we just know there is a relationship between dose and response.

There is also a relationship between types of trauma and the likelihood of impairment or symptoms afterwards. So childhood sex abuse, rape, exposure to combat, it's very -- while people may recover from those, we find that the rates of impairment and symptoms after that are higher for some kinds of trauma.

So if we look at the dosage of trauma that David had and the periods at which they showed up and these occurred in his development, I can't see a time in his development from very early childhood when many physical and mental basic processes are getting laid down to the kinds of processes that happen, say, when they are in grade school or in early adolescence, in each of those periods of his development he was contending with experiences that were overwhelmingly maybe physically painful or emotionally confusing to the point where what we call psychological integrity is threatened. And those traumatic experiences kept happening.

So I would say given just the regular dose response curve, he would -- there's no surprise that he has impairment and symptoms. Given the kinds of traumas that he experienced, which were all interpersonal, it happens sometimes that I'll see these early traumas and then they'll have a series of what we might consider non-interpersonal, like motor vehicle accidents or a lot of physical accidents. He didn't seem to have many of those. So they all involved relationships with other people. And those are always more complicated when other people whom you're supposed to trust or with whom you're attempting to relate violate or betray that trust.

So if we add all of that up, I would say the impairment was inevitable; symptoms are inevitable for him.

And the severity of those symptoms is a function of how long they lasted, and the fact that one disrupted the next developmental stage. So if what he's supposed to be learning as an infant, learning just happens, getting close to people means safety, I go to people for safety, I begin to know who I am versus who the other person is, all these things that are very early, if that's disrupted by being shuffled from one caretaker to another, being the baby of no one, the child is going to adapt. Those adaptations he takes into the next stage of development, which is, I take care of myself however I can, in whatever way I can. And then I'm in a classroom with a teacher who tells me what to do, this doesn't work for me. That creates problems.

So the cumulative nature is greater than the sum of

So the cumulative nature is greater than the sum of its parts. So I would say for David that that's a severe picture of trauma.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Court will be in recess for 15 minutes.

(Court in recess from 10 a.m. until 10:20 a.m.)

THE COURT: All set? You may call your next witness.

MR. SINDEL: Is Dr. Reynolds excused, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry.

MR. SINDEL: Is it okay if she runs to the courtroom door so she can catch her flight?

```
THE COURT: Thank you.
 1
 2
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
 3
               MR. SINDEL: We recall Eric Barnett to the stand.
 4
      And this has a little to do with your --
 5
               THE COURT: Sure. You're still under oath. I took
 6
      particular notes when you were testifying. I made some
 7
      marginal notes about you being truthful and honest, and I'm
 8
      confident that will continue.
 9
               MR. SINDEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                               ERIC BARNETT,
11
      Having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as
      follows:
12
13
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
      BY MR. SINDEL:
15
            Just for the record, Eric, you are Eric Barnett, right?
16
            Yes.
      Α.
17
            And you testified almost all morning yesterday; is that
18
      correct?
19
            Yes, sir.
      Α.
20
            Now, I'm going to show you what's been marked as
      Plaintiff's Exhibit S.
21
22
               MR. SINDEL: And may I approach, Your Honor?
23
               THE COURT: Yes, you may.
            And ask you, I know you don't recognize that because
24
25
      you didn't prepare it, but let me ask you a few questions.
```

- 1 Is there a date on that report?
- 2 A. September 26th, '96.
- Q. And does it indicate what the subject matter of the
- 4 report is?
- 5 A. A report of an interview with me.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall -- and it also says where that
- 7 interview took place. I believe it was Secil's house?
- 8 A. It was Secil's house in Kirkwood, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And do you remember actually being interviewed prior to
- 10 David's trial? Just take a look at it and see if that's
- 11 consistent with some of the things you were asked about.
- 12 A. I really -- I really don't recall being interviewed
- 13 before the trial about certain subjects.
- 14 Q. But I'm asking you if you recall being interviewed
- around the time of that date, not about the subject matter.
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. Okay. And was Kris present during the course of that
- 18 interview?
- 19 A. No, he was not.
- 20 Q. Now, that's my notes, and there's a couple little
- 21 underlines and everything. I think if you look on page 2, is
- 22 there a page there where I've scratched out Kris's name and
- wrote your name in -- or page 3?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, page 3.
- 25 Q. Now, was the entire interview done of you as far as

- 1 you're concerned?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3  $\square$  Q. And so when the interviewer notes "Kris" on there,
- 4 would that be basically what you understand is a typo or a
- 5 mistake?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And there are I think three times in that report where
- 8 it refers to Kris. But is that actually information that you
- 9 gave to them?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. The items that were indicated by Kris? Let me rephrase
- 12 the question. Under the -- when they are talking and they
- use the name Kris, are those things that you actually told
- 14 them and not Kris as far as you can recall?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And were you basically answering the questions
- 17 that they asked you during the course of that interview?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And there were certain subjects that they apparently
- 21 A. Definitely.
- 22 MR. SINDEL: Your Honor, I would move the
- 23 introduction of Plaintiff's Exhibit S.
- 24 MR. HAWKE: No objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

## 1 BY MR. SINDEL:

- 2 Q. And then one final question. When you were sitting on
- 3 the lap of John Barnett, did you notice whether he would have
- 4 an erection as he fondled you?
- 5 A. Yes, he did.
- 6 MR. SINDEL: That's all I have.
- 7 MR. HAWKE: No questions.
- 8 THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you, sir.
- 9 MS. HARMS: The petitioner calls Shelby Crossen.
- 10 SHELBY CROSSEN,
- 11 Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 12 follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. HARMS:
- 15 Q. Good morning.
- 16 A. Good morning.
- 17 Q. Could you introduce yourself to the Court.
- 18 A. Shelby Crossen.
- 19 Q. And where do you live, Ms. Crossen?
- 20 A. Rockford, Illinois.
- 21 Q. And how long have you lived there?
- 22 A. Since I was in third grade, all my life.
- 23 Q. Okay. And do you know Shirley Pullen?
- 24 A. She's my older sister.
- 25 Q. And when we're talking today, we're going to be talking

- 1 mainly about your sister Shirley, not your mother Shirley,
- 2 just to distinguish that.
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. And is she your oldest sister?
- 5 A. Yes, she's my only sister.
- 6 Q. Okay. Is Shirley the oldest in the family?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. Could you tell me who your other siblings are, and if
- 9 you could in order from oldest to youngest?
- 10 A. It's Shirley, Charlie, Randy, me, Richard, and Pete.
- 11 Q. Okay. And some of your siblings have different fathers
- 12 from you, correct?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Okay. Was there ever a time in your life when you came
- 15 to live with Shirley periodically after she moved out of the
- 16 family home?
- 17 A. Yeah, from age 10 to 13.
- 18 Q. And was that in St. Louis?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Okay. And what were the circumstances of why you were
- 21 staying with Shirley in those summers?
- 22 A. I'd go down to stay with my dad, but my step-mom was a
- really mean woman, and she didn't want us around because we
- 24 were mom's kids, so I'd stay with Shirley when I was there.
- 25 Q. And when you say your father, you're referring to your

- 1 father, Wilbur?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. So this happened that you stayed approximately
- 4 three summers with Shirley?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. And did Shirley have kids living with her that first
- 7 summer that you stayed with her?
- 8 A. Billy and Toni.
- 9 Q. And approximately how old were they?
- 10 A. Toni was two and Billy was four.
- 11 Q. What were your summers like with Shirley? I mean, how
- 12 would you spend your time?
- 13 A. Baby-sitting the kids and taking care of her house.
- Q. When you were baby-sitting the kids, how frequently was
- 15 this that you were taking care of the kids?
- 16 A. I had them every day or every other day.
- 17 Q. What was Shirley doing while you were taking care of
- 18 the kids?
- 19 A. She was out partying.
- 20 Q. When you say "out partying," do you mean drinking?
- 21 A. Drinking, doing drugs.
- 22 Q. Okay. What was the condition of the house that Shirley
- 23 was living in?
- 24 A. There was --
- 25 MR. HAWKE: Objection, Your Honor, on the basis of

relevance. I'm not sure what years we're dealing with and where David Barnett is.

MS. HARMS: Billy Bye's testimony was that David did spend some time with Shirley under the age of five approximately. So I think the condition of her house is relevant to what kind of mother she was when David was spending time with her.

THE COURT: I think the objection was wanting to know specifically when it was that Ms. Crossen was living there to know whether at that time David was alive or where he was.

MS. HARMS: Okay. So sustained or --

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MS. HARMS: Was the objection sustained?

THE COURT: Well, yes, I think so. I'm not so much interested in that, as also for my notes, it largely becomes irrelevant unless I know how David fits into the situation. I don't know when it was that Ms. Crossen left a dysfunctional home to live with Shirley for awhile. I know that Billy and Toni were living there, but that's all I know. It would be helpful to know the year, and that may all be coming, when David was born and all that. So I guess we'll get there soon enough. So, okay, sustained.

BY MS. HARMS:

Q. How often did Shirley go out drinking and leave you

```
1 with the kids?
```

- 2 A. Every day or every other day.
- 3 Q. Was Shirley an alcoholic?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How old was she when she began drinking?
- 6 A. Fourteen.
- 7 Q. And so you actually witnessed her being drunk?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. What was she like when she was drunk?
- 10 A. Mean.
- 11 Q. Can you explain?
- 12 A. She -- she'd be abusive physically, verbally.
- Q. When you say "physically abusive," what does that mean
- 14 to you? Can you give me examples. Take your time.
- 15 A. She'd be physically abusive to the kids and me.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry?
- 17 A. She would make -- she'd whip on the kids. She'd smack
- 18 them in the head, smack them in the face.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. Wait, I'm having trouble hearing.
- 20 Could you get real close to the microphone?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 22 THE COURT: That's okay.
- 23 A. She'd be physical, really physical abusive with the
- 24 kids. I mean, she would smack them in the head, smack them
- in the face, whip them with a belt, you know, with a

- 1 racetrack, a Hot Wheels racetrack.
- 2 Q. And this racetrack would do some damage?
- 3 A. It would leave welts, yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. Did she ever drink at home in the front of the kids?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What was her drink of choice?
- 7 A. Busch beer and Jack Daniels.
- 8 Q. Did she have parties at the house?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. And was there drinking around the kids?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Were people doing drugs at the house?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did you ever personally witness some of this drug
- 15 activity?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am. They used to huff paint thinner in a bread
- 17 bag. I found her and Bruce snorting cocaine in the bathroom.
- 18 I seen them eating paper, which back then I didn't know what
- 19  $\parallel$  it was until I was older, and I found out it was acid.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. Okay. So they would come home from the bars drunk?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And they would wake you up?
- 23 A. Me and the kids, yes, ma'am.
- Q. What were Shirley's relationships like with men?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A. She -- she had quite a few of them. The main two that

- 1 I was around when I was there was Aaron Cook and Bruce.
- 2 Q. What was Aaron Cook like?
- $3 \quad \blacksquare \quad A$ . He was mean. He was mean to her and the kids.
- 4 Q. Would he physically abuse the children?
- 5 A. Yeah, he liked to whip them with a belt, a racetrack,
- 6 wooden spoon.
- 7 Q. And you personally saw this?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. Would he hit Shirley?
- 10 A. Yes, he -- I seen him hit her quite a few times.
- 11 Q. Would Shirley ever hit him back?
- 12 A. I seen her once, she tied him to the bed and beat him
- 13 with a brush.
- 14 Q. And Aaron eventually ended up in prison?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Okay. So when Shirley was hitting the kids, we're not
- 17 | talking about just smacks to the butt, are we?
- 18 A. No. I mean, it went to extremes, it did. She left
- 20 They'd have marks on their backs, on their legs.
- 21 Q. And would you sometimes get hit when you tried to
- 22 intervene?
- 23 A. Yes. She punched me in the face a couple times from
- 24 trying to stop her from whipping the kids.
- 25 Q. What was Shirley's care of the children like?

- 1 A. She didn't take care of them, I did. I gave them their
- 2 baths. I changed their diapers.
- 3 Q. Did you see the children sometimes running around in
- 4 dirty diapers?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Shirley wouldn't bathe the children?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did she show affection to her kids?
- 9 A. No, ma'am.
- 10 Q. Was she interested in her children?
- 11 A. Nope.
- 12 Q. What was her main interest in life?
- 13 A. Drinking and doing drugs.
- 14 Q. Shirley at one point was with a man named Michael
- 15 Acree?
- 16 A. Mike Acree, yeah.
- 17 Q. Was he an alcoholic?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. Was he a daily drinker?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what was his drink of choice?
- 22 A. Beer and Jack Daniels.
- 23 Q. Did he ever hit the kids?
- 24 A. Yeah, he used to grab them up by their arm and hit them
- 25 with a belt.

- 1 Q. Did you enjoy staying with Shirley?
- 2 A. Nope.
- 3  $\blacksquare$  Q. Were you around Shirley when she was pregnant ever?
- 4 A. Just when she was pregnant with Bradley.
- 5 Q. Did you see her ingest any substances when she was
- 6 pregnant with Bradley?
- 7 A. She smoked marijuana.
- 8 Q. Was there something that happened that caused you to
- 9 stop visiting Shirley in the summers?
- 10 A. My mom wouldn't let me go back down there. When I was
- 11 13, she and Bruce and some of their friends came home from
- 12 the bar, and I woke up with a guy on top of me. That's when
- 13 I lost my viraginity.
- 14 Q. Did you tell -- go ahead.
- 15 A. I ended up pregnant when I was 13.
- Q. Did you tell Shirley what had happened?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did she express remorse or sorrow?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Did you avoid Shirley after that?
- 21 A. Yep. I didn't see her again until I was 16.
- 22 Q. And is that when Shirley moved back to Rockford from
- 23 St. Louis?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Was there a time then in Rockford when Shirley and her

- 1 kids were living with you, your mom, Chuck, and your husband
- 2 Chuck and your children?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. Had Shirley's drinking behavior changed by then?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Had her treatment of the children changed?
- 7 A. She never really -- she never really had anything to do
- 8 with the kids then because mom was there, and mom always took
- 9 care of the kids.
- 10 Q. Did Shirley ever have mood swings?
- 11 A. Yes, she -- she'd go from being happy-go-lucky one
- 12 minute to screaming and hollering the next and to where the
- 13 neighbors could hear her, people outside could hear her.
- 14  $\blacksquare$  Q. Did she seem to get angry for no reason?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Would these screaming and the mood swings, would they
- 17 happen when she was sober?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. And also when she was drunk?
- 20 A. Both.
- 21 Q. Did there come a time when you learned that Shirley had
- given custody of all her children up except Bradley to the
- 23 State?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am, Christmas --
- 25 MR. HAWKE: Objection, Your Honor, we're -- counsel

is leading the witness. 1

> THE COURT: Okay. There was some leading, but this question didn't. She was asking sort of changing the pace here. Was there a time when Shirley gave custody of all the children up except Bradley to the State. So overruled. is a fact question.

- So answer it? Α.
- 8 Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

18

- 9 Okay. Yeah, Christmas Eve of 1984. Mike gave her the ultimatum that she give up the kids or --10
- MR. HAWKE: Objection, hearsay. 11
- 12 THE COURT: Yeah, maybe. Could you rephrase the 13 question?
- 14 MS. HARMS: I'll rephrase. Sure.
  - THE COURT: The only question before you now is was there a time when she gave them up?
- 17 Okay. Christmas Eve of 1984. Α.
- What was your reaction when you heard that Shirley gave 19 the kids up?
- 20 I -- I told her she should have brought them to me and Chuck first so that we could have figured something out. 21
  - Did your mother consider taking the children? Q.
- 23 Mom would have took them, but mom was tied up with DFS at the time because my little brother Pete had set himself on 24 25 fire. He'd gotten hold of a lighter and caught his self on

- 1 fire, so they wouldn't let mom have them.
- 2 Q. So Richard and Pete had been placed in foster care and
- 3 that's why?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Did the six children of Shirley, did they have
- 6 different fathers?
- 7 A. Billy's dad is Tom. I'm not -- I never knew what
- 8 David's dad's name was. Toni and Grant's dad is Aaron.
- 9 Michael's dad is Bruce.
- 10 THE COURT: Wait, just a second. I want to get this
- 11 down. So Billy's father is Tom. David, you're not sure.
- 12 And then I think you said --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Toni and Grant, their dad is Aaron
- 14 Cook.
- THE COURT: Okay. Aaron, okay, just a second.
- 16 Okay. Go ahead.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Michael's dad, his name is Bruce. And
- 18 Bradley's dad is Mike Acree.
- 19 BY MS. HARMS:
- 20 Q. I want to move now to talk a little bit about the
- 21 Pullen side of the family.
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Was there any alcoholism in that side of the family?
- 24  $\blacksquare$  A. The whole Pullen side was alcoholics.
- 25 Q. When you say that, are talking about your dad?

- A. My dad, my Uncle Wendall, my Uncle Joe, my Uncle George, my Aunt Mary, my Grandpa Pullen.
- 3 Q. And do you have pictures of the Pullen family together?
- 4 A. I have a picture of my dad and my uncles together, yes.
  - Q. And what is always present in those pictures?
- 6 A. Cans of beer.
- Q. What signs of alcohol use did you see in your dad's house?
- 9 A. They'd always have cases of beer stacked in the corner.
- 10 My step-mom would get up and start drinking as soon as she
- 11 got up every morning. My dad would wait till around noon
- 12 hour before he'd start drinking, and he'd drink the rest of
- 13 the day.

- 14 Q. So we're talking heavy daily drinking?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Okay. And what was your Aunt Mary like?
- 17 A. She was paranoid. She was -- she was a drunk. I mean,
- 18 she was an alcoholic too. And she had -- she was real
- 19 paranoid about things, like people would be in her house or
- 20 she'd be hearing things and hearing people that weren't
- 21 there.
- 22 Q. Did your dad want you around your Aunt Mary?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Was he concerned about her behavior?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Would she scream and yell?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Okay. Did you have a -- did your mother have a sister
- 4 named Claudette?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Was she a heavy drinker?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. Okay. And what was her relationships like with men?
- 9 A. She was married seven times.
- 10 Q. And what was her personality like?
- 11 A. She was also real paranoid. She would have really bad
- 12 mood swings to where she would scream and holler and she
- 13 would think people was in her house watching her and hearing
- 14 voices and hearing people that weren't there.
- 15 Q. What happened to your Uncle Wendall?
- 16  $\blacksquare$  A. He went to the bar and was drinking and he got shot.
- 17 And he pulled into the front yard and he got out of the car
- and he walked up to the porch and he died in the yard.
- 19 Q. And you actually witnessed him die in front of you?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. And you were pretty little?
- 22 A. I was six, seven.
- 23 Q. And did -- your mom had an Uncle Gene?
- A. That was my mom's brother, my Uncle Gene.
- 25 Q. Did he also meet a violent death?

- A. Yes, he got killed when he was 15. He was with a
- 2 | friend and they were looking at his friend's father's
- 3 shotgun. They didn't know it was loaded. The friend pulled
- 4 the trigger. And it went in his left side -- went in his
- 5 right side, came out his left side and took the whole bottom
- of his heart off.
- 7 Q. Now, Shirley's third oldest child Toni, she was later
- 8 adopted and now goes by the name Jessica?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. Have you had some contact with her as an adult?
- 11 A. I never had any contact with her until January of this
- 12 year when I went down to take care of my mom when she passed
- away.
- 14  $\blacksquare$  Q. Do you know if Jessica has any problems with
- 15 alcohol?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am. I went to Bowling Green with her when I
- 17 was down there, which you have to go to because my mom lived
- in a dry county. And she bought a gallon of whiskey. And we
- 19 were going down the street and she was having me pour her
- 20 shots in a plastic cup from the gas station.
- 21 Q. Do you know if Bradley, Shirley's youngest child, has
- 22 problems with alcohol?
- 23 A. He has a really bad problem with alcohol.
- Q. Do you have any specific examples of that?
- 25 A. He got drunk on vodka not too long before I went down

- there and he walked -- he was living in my brother Richard's trailer. He walked into the trailer next door and told the
- 3 people to get the hell out of his house.
- Q. In other words, he mistook the neighbor's trailer for where he was staying?
- A. Yes. And they had to call the police and have him removed, and he lost his job over it.
- 8 Q. Does Bradley have a particular fascination with fire?
- A. Yes, ma'am. He caught the apartment he caught the
  apartment on fire that they lived in when my mom lived in
  Paragould, Arkansas. That Shirley, Mike, and Bradley lived
  in the apartment next door to mom, and Bradley caught that
  apartment on fire. So they moved out of there, and they
  moved into a trailer that my Aunt Claudette and my Uncle Dave
- Q. Have you yourself ever been diagnosed with any mental disorder?
- 18 A. Anxiety and depression, and I have epilepsy.

owned, and Bradley burned that trailer down.

- 19 Q. Are you on SSI for your mental disorder?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 21 | Q. And you're on medication for it?
- A. Yes, I'm on Seroquel for anxiety. I take Prozac for depression. And Depakote for epilepsy.
- 24 MS. HARMS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hawke.

## 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. HAWKE:
- 3 Q. Good morning.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. I have a few questions that I need to ask you this
- 6 morning.
- 7 A. That's fine.
- 8 Q. And if you -- if I don't speak loudly enough or clearly
- 9 enough, just ask me to repeat the question, I'm happy to do
- 10 so.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Can you tell the Court the year you were born?
- 13 A. 1965.
- 14 Q. 1965. And when you lived with Shirley, that was when
- 15 you were ages 10 to 13?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So that would have been between 1975 and 1978?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Okay. And the children you remember being there were
- 20 two children, one named Billy and one named Toni?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And the information you gave the Court this morning
- 23 concerned those two children?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the time that you were discussing Shirley

- 1 giving up the children, that was at Christmas time in 1984?
- 2 A. Christmas Eve 1984.
- 3 Q. And that was in Rockford, Illinois?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And that concerned which children?
- 6 A. It was Billy, Toni, Grant, and Michael.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 THE COURT: Billy, Toni, Grant, and --
- 9 THE WITNESS: Michael.
- 10 THE COURT: Got it. Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. HAWKE:
- 12 Q. And from -- you gave us a lot of information about the
- 13 Pullen family this morning.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. None of that information -- can you tell the Court if
- David Barnett ever observed any of those stories?
- 17 A. I can -- I have never met David. Whether -- whatever
- 18 contact that Shirley had with David, I was not there. I
- 19 couldn't tell you. I have no clue.
- 20 Q. That's fine. So just to say the obvious, David Barnett
- 21 did not see Toni drink whiskey in Bowling Green?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And, again, just to state the obvious, David
- 24 didn't see Bradley drunk on vodka and at the wrong trailer?
- 25 A. No.

```
1
            And David didn't see Bradley set fire to apartment the
 2
      or trailers?
 3
      Α.
            No.
 4
      Q.
            No. Okay.
 5
               MR. HAWKE: That concludes my questions.
 6
               MS. HARMS: She can be excused. I don't have any
 7
      further questions.
 8
               THE WITNESS: Oh, I can go?
 9
               MS. HARMS: We call Kathy Burkett.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
                              Thank you. Kathy Burkett.
11
               THE WITNESS:
12
                              KATHY BURKETT,
      Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
13
14
      follows:
15
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
      BY MS. HARMS:
17
            Good morning.
18
            Good morning.
      Α.
19
            Can you state your name again one more time for the
      record?
20
21
            Kathy Burkett.
      Α.
22
      Q.
            And how old are you, Ms. Burkett?
23
            Fifty-four.
      Α.
24
            Okay. And where do you live?
      Q.
25
            Rockford, Illinois.
      Α.
```

- 1 Q. Okay. And how long have you lived there?
- 2 A. All my life.
- 3 Q. Okay. Are you currently employed?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you've never testified before?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Do you know Shirley Pullen?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When I say "Shirley," I'm talking about --
- 10 A. Junior.
- 11 Q. -- Junior. But you also knew Shirley's mother?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How do you know Shirley?
- 14 A. Through my cousin Shelby. Well, she was married to my
- 15 cousin, Shelby.
- 16 Q. Shelby was married to Chuck Armstrong, who was your
- 17 cousin?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How old were you roughly when you met Shirley?
- 20 A. Middle twenties about.
- 21 Q. Okay. And was there a time when you lived close to
- 22 her?
- 23 A. Yes, she lived kitty-corner behind me.
- Q. And did you spend a lot of time with each other?
- 25 A. A couple times a week.

- 1 Q. You spent time in each other's homes?
- 2 A. Well, I tried stay out of her home. I'd go over there
- 3 once in awhile, but I wouldn't stay very long. So I'd have
- 4 her come to my house.
- 5 Q. Did you know Shirley's children?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What was Shirley's care of the children like?
- 8 A. Not good.
- 9 Q. When you say "not good," could you explain why you say
- 10 that?
- 11 A. Well, she wasn't a very good housekeeper. She had
- 12 dirty dishes all the time. Her garbage was overflowing. The
- 13 two youngest ones hardly ever got their diaper changed.
- 14 Q. Would they be walking around with their diapers full?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Leaking out?
- 17 A. The pee would be going down their legs.
- 18 Q. What was Shirley's personal hygiene like?
- 19 A. Not good.
- Q. Did she smell?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Did she bathe the children regularly?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Were they dirty?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did the younger children have proper clothes?
- 2 A. No, they ran around in diapers all the time.
- 3 Q. Even in the winter?
- 4 A. Even in the wintertime.
- 5 Q. No shirts on?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Were there any insects in the house?
- A. Yes, ma'am, there was cockroaches. The two youngest
- 9 ones had lice. I didn't check the older ones because -- I
- 10 really shouldn't have checked the little ones. But, yes,
- 11 they had lice. And I went to pick up Grant out of the crib,
- 12 and he was soaking wet and had a cockroach crawling in his
- 13 ear.
- 14 THE COURT: Who did you get from the crib?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Pardon?
- 16 THE COURT: Which child?
- 17 THE WITNESS: The youngest one, Grant.
- 18 BY MS. HARMS:
- 19 Q. And you had children yourself?
- 20 A. Yes, three.
- 21 Q. Were you shocked by the conditions in her home?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did you ever see Shirley show affection towards her
- 24 kids?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did Shirley ever watch your children?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And why is that?
- 4 A. Because I didn't like the way she raised hers. I
- 5 didn't like -- I'm scared she wasn't going to clean them or
- 6 feed them.
- 7 Q. Did the children spend a lot of time in the care of
- 8 Shirley's mother?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And was this because Shirley didn't want them
- 11 around?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever know Shirley to hold down a job?
- 14 A. No.
- MR. HAWKE: Objection on the basis of foundation for
- 16 that, how she knew what Shirley's emotional state was.
- 17 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Well, sustained. If you want
- 18 to lay a foundation about what she observed.
- 19 BY MS. HARMS:
- Q. Was the family on welfare?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22  $\square$  Q. Was the family on food stamps?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Were there times not enough food in the house?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did you ever do anything to try to rectify that?
- 2 A. I helped them when I could. I wasn't rich either, and
- 3 I would bring them food. And I would make sure I'd tell
- 4 Shirley that her and Michael, her boyfriend Mike, wouldn't
- eat it, I'd say, "Make sure the kids get that food."
- 6 Q. I want to move on now to a particular event, Christmas
- 7 time 1984. Do you recall something happening with Shirley
- 8 and her children around that time?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. HAWKE: Objection, Your Honor, this story
- 11 doesn't relate to David Barnett.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled. I'll hear it.
- 13 BY MS. HARMS:
- Q. What happened?
- 15 A. Shirley came to my house and asked me if I could take
- 16 her children to the children's home.
- 17 Q. Did that shock you?
- 18 A. Yes. I tried talking to her two or three hours, asking
- 19  $\parallel$  her why. And she said she just couldn't handle it anymore.
- 20  $\bigcirc$  0. Did she seem emotional about the decision?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Was she struggling with it?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Was she crying?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Were you crying?
- 2 A. I am now.
- 3 Q. Why did she need your help?
- 4 A. I had a car. She didn't have a car. And just asked me
- 5 to drop them off. She rode with me.
- 6 Q. So did you spend some time trying to talk her out of
- 7 | it?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Was she going to give up all of her children?
- 10 A. Four of them. She kept Bradley.
- 11 Q. And was it your impression that she was keeping Bradley
- 12 because of Bradley's father, Michael?
- 13 A. I think he kind of forced her into getting rid of them.
- 14 He gave her an ultimatum like --
- MR. HAWKE: Objection, hearsay.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes, I think so. Sustained.
- 17 BY MS. HARMS:
- 18 Q. Did Shirley ever consider giving the children to her
- 19 mother or Shelby?
- 20 A. Not that I know of. She never mentioned it.
- 21 Q. So you finally agreed to drive the children?
- 22 A. I did it for their own good.
- Q. Did Shirley pack any bags for the kids?
- 24 A. Nothing, not a bag, not a toy, nothing.
- 25 Q. Did Shirley tell the kids good-bye?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Did she hug them?
- 3 A. Nope.
- 4 Q. Did she cry?
- 5 A. Nope.
- 6 Q. Did you cry?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Did the kids understand what was going on?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You said it was for their own good. What did you mean
- 11 by that?
- 12 A. Well, I'm not the best mother in the world myself, but,
- 13 you know, they weren't getting fed right, they weren't
- 14 getting clean, their house was nasty. She wasn't lovable.
- 15 She was mean -- I mean, not mean, but I don't know how to put
- 16 it. She was not --
- 17 Q. Affectionate?
- 18 A. -- good affectionate. And I thought maybe if they had
- some foster parents or something to take care of them better,
- 20 maybe they'll have a life, you know.
- 21 | Q. At some point after this did you lose touch with
- 22 Shirley?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. Was it because this event changed your opinion of her?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 I have no further questions. Thank you. MS. HARMS: 2 THE COURT: Mr. Hawke. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. HAWKE: 5 Good morning. 6 Good morning. Α. 7 The Christmas 1984 event, which of the children did Shirley give up? 8 9 Billy, Toni, Michael, and Grant. Α. 10 And at that time you were of the opinion that it might be for their own good? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 And the time that you had contact with Shirley, the 14 beginning of your testimony, what years were you discussing, 15 was it like 1946 or 1985? 16 When I dropped the children off? 17 At the beginning of your testimony you said that you 18 knew Shirley, and that you would come to her house twice a 19 week. 20 Okay. Α. 21 What year or years are we talking about? 22 Well, I got to figure that out. I would say I was 24, Α. 23 so that was probably 30 years ago. Nineteen -- I can't think right now. 24

THE COURT: That's all right. Take your time.

- 1 Q. Take your time. We got all day.
- THE COURT: Well, the incident with the children was
  Christmas 1984.
- THE WITNESS: Right, '84.
- 5 THE COURT: So how much time before that did you
- 6 know Shirley?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Shoot, about -- I don't really -- ten
- 8 years maybe.
- 9 BY MR. HAWKE:
- 10 Q. Let's think about it a little bit differently. Let me
- 11 withdraw that question and we'll approach it a different way.
- 12 You said that you lived catty-corner from Shirley?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. When did you live in the house that was catty-corner
- 15 from Shirley?
- 16 A. '82.
- 17 Q. '82, okay. And how long did you live in that house
- 18 catty-corner from Shirley?
- 19 A. My husband and I lived there for a year -- no, I'm
- 20 sorry, it was -- yeah, it was probably two years, two years.
- 21 I've moved so much. I'm sorry.
- 22 Q. So the time period that you were describing Shirley's
- 23 home then was about from 1982 to 1984?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. Okay. And the children that you saw at Shirley's home

```
were the four children that she eventually gave up?
 1
 2
            Yes.
      Α.
 3
      Q.
            Okay. No others?
 4
            Well, Michael.
      Α.
 5
            Oh, Michael --
      Q.
 6
            I mean Bradley, Michael's baby.
      Α.
 7
            And that was Bradley Acree; is that correct?
      Q.
 8
      Α.
            Yes.
 9
               MR. HAWKE: That's all the questions I have.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Redirect.
11
                            REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
      BY MS. HARMS:
13
            Just to clarify, did you know Shirley before you lived
14
      in the house catty-corner to her?
15
      Α.
            Yes.
16
            So there was a time period prior to 1982 when you knew
17
      Shirley also?
18
            Yes. That's where the house was real bad where she
      Α.
      lived when I first met her.
19
            So you knew what her housekeeping was like in other
20
21
      houses?
22
      Α.
            Yes.
23
            And it was the same?
24
      Α.
            All the same.
```

MS. HARMS: No further questions. Thank you.

1	THE COURT: I have just a couple. Shirley was
2	married to Shelby's husband?
3	THE WITNESS: No, Shelby Crossen was married to my
4	cousin Chuck Armstrong.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Just a second.
6	THE WITNESS: And that's how I met Shirley, through
7	Shelby.
8	THE COURT: Would you right into the microphone
9	really slowly tell me how that relationship is, okay.
10	THE WITNESS: I met Shirley through Shelly Crossen.
1,1	She was married to my cousin, Chuck Armstrong.
12	MS. HARMS: Shelby is Shirley's sister?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And Shelby was married to your
15	cousin, Chuck Armstrong?
16	THE WITNESS: Right.
17	THE COURT: I have it now. Okay. Got it.
18	MS. HARMS: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
21	MS. CARLYLE: Call Rita Reames.
22	RITA REAMES,
23	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
24	follows:
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

## 1 BY MS. CARLYLE:

- 2 Q. Will you tell us your name, please.
- 3 A. My name is Rita Reames.
- 4 Q. And where do you live, Ms. Reames?
- 5 A. Currently I reside in Cecilia, Kentucky.
- 6 Q. And about how long have you lived there?
- 7 A. Three years.
- Q. Okay. And during that time have you been involved in
- 9 any work relating to children?
- 10 A. Yes. I am a court appointed special advocate, which is
- 11 called CASA for short. It's a program where I volunteer and
- 12 advocate for children already in the system for abuse,
- 13 neglect, or other needs.
- 14 Q. And what drew you to that program?
- 15 A. My experience with David Barnett, and his experience
- 16 with Family Services.
- 17 Q. So you know David Barnett?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And how do you know him?
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. My husband and I were his foster parents for about six,
- 21 seven months.
- 22 Q. And was that in 1983?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. How old was David Barnett at that point?
- 25 A. When he came to us he was six, six and a half. And

- when he left was shortly after his seventh birthday.
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And what was his last name when he was with you?
- 3 A. Pullen.
- 4 \ Q. How did that placement with you come to an end?
- 5 A. I was a student. I had gone back to school. And when
- 6 we were asked by Family Services to take David Barnett, or
- 7 Pullen at the time, I told them that I'd be glad to do so,
- 8 but I was in school. And they said no problem. And so he
- 9 came to live with us.
- 10 Q. But how did the placement end?
- 11 A. Oh, it ended because, as I said, I was in school, and I
- 12 received an opportunity to be part of an exchange program, so
- 13 I was accepted, and my husband and I and our two biological
- 14  $\parallel$  children went to England to take advantage of that
- 15 opportunity.
- 16 Q. And when was your next contact with David Barnett after
- 17 that?
- 18 A. During the penalty phase of his trial, 1996 or whenever
- 19 it was.
- 20 Q. Let's go back to 1983. Where did you and your family
- 21 live at that point?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. We had a home on Olivaire Lane in Olivette, Missouri.
- 23 Q. And who lived there in your home?
- 24  $\blacksquare$  A. My husband, myself, our biological children, and then
- 25 David.

- 1 Q. And how many biological children do you have?
- $2 \quad A. \quad Two, a son and a daughter.$
- 3 Q. Had you and Mr. Reames been foster parents before?
- 4 A. Yes, David was our third foster child.
- Q. When David Barnett came to you as a foster child, what
- 6 were you told about his past history?
- 7 A. DFS told us virtually nothing.
- 8 Q. And was that something that happened with the other
- 9 foster children you had also?
- 10 A. Yes, it was my understanding that that was their
- 11 policy.
- 12 Q. When -- was David Barnett able to fit into the routines
- 13 at your home?
- 14 A. Yes. It didn't take him very long at all to become
- part of the family. He enjoyed our children. He enjoyed
- 16 being part of the family unit.
- Q. What were some of the routines you remember him
- 18 enjoying or being involved in?
- 19 A. Well, we all had different schedules during the day,
- 20  $\blacksquare$  but at night we had dinner together. We always read bedtime
- 21 stories. And David really liked that because we'd all sit
- 22 together to do that. Afterward was teeth brushing, pajamas,
- and getting tucked into bed with a kiss and a hug every
- 24 night.
- 25 Q. So tell me about the reading routine. Where would you

1 do that?

- 2 A. Usually on a sofa so there was room for everybody. You
- 3  $\blacksquare$  know, we'd all be piled up there together taking turns.
- 4 Sometimes my husband read, sometimes I read. The kids
- 5 sometimes picked out a book. But we did it as a group.
- 6 Q. So did David enjoy sitting with the family and
- 7 snuggling?
- A. Yes. He always wanted to be next to somebody, and he
- 9 always was.
- 10 Q. Did you -- did you and your family take David with you
- 11 to church?
- 12 A. Yes, we went every week to Clayton United Methodist
- 13 Church.
- 14 Q. Do you remember anything that David seemed to be
- 15 | frightened about or worried about while he was with you?
- 16 A. David sometimes would flinch like he was anticipating
- 17 being hit, although we never did strike him in any way for
- any reason. But that began to ease away. He was a little
- 19  $\parallel$  frightened of storms, of dark -- of the dark, of being alone.
- 20 Many things really, but nothing debilitating. He was able
- 21 with our help to function and be happy.
- 22  $\mathbb{Q}$ . But you did notice he had some of those fears that must
- 23 have come from something that happened before he got to you?
- 24 A. Absolutely. Absolutely, yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. Was David in any kind of therapy or counseling

- 1 while he was with you?
- 2 A. Yes, we took him to counseling. I can't recall the
- 3 | frequency, but it seems like it was once a week.
- 4 Q. And did you and your family participate in that
- 5 counseling or was it just his counseling?
- 6 A. It was just for David.
- 7 Q. Did he seem to object to going to that counseling in
- 8 any way?
- 9 A. No, he never seemed to protest or mind in any way. He
- 10 cooperated.
- 11 Q. Did he share anything with you about it?
- 12 A. No, not really.
- MS. CARLYLE: I think Mr. Sindel may have absconded
- 14 with some of my exhibit stickers. Let me see what I can do.
- 15 Are we ready for Exhibit T? Is that right?
- 16 THE COURT: The last one I recall was S.
- MS. CARLYLE: Okay. Then I'm going to mark T, U, V,
- 18 and W. I'll show Mr. Hawke.
- 19 THE COURT: What's the number?
- 20 MS. CARLYLE: I have marked four exhibits, T, U, V,
- 21 and W.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. T, U, V, and W?
- MS. CARLYLE: Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay.
- 25

1 BY MS. CARLYLE:

4

5

6

7

Q.

- Q. Ms. Reames, let me draw your attention to what you're holding there, the photograph that's been marked as Exhibit T
  - A. This is a picture of David Barnett when he lived with us. He's playing badminton in the yard.

on the back. Can you tell us what that is, please?

Okay. And what about U, Exhibit U?

- A. This is from David's birthday in 1983. He's opening a present.
- THE COURT: Another photograph?

  THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 A. In the photograph is my biological son, our daughter,
  13 and part of myself.
- Q. Okay. And let me also direct your attention to the big sheet that's been marked V. And that's a sheet that contains several photographs, isn't it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, there's one of those photographs that's familiar to you, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Can you tell us --

MS. CARLYLE: And what we plan to do, Your Honor, is
to cut this appropriately. The only picture -- at this point
the only one we have has got these other pictures we're not
going to offer, so I'm going to get her to describe where it

- is, and we'll cut it so you won't be distracted by the other
- 2 ones.
- 3 BY MS. CARLYLE:
- 4 Q. But tell me where on the sheet the picture is you're
- 5 talking about.
- 6 A. In the bottom left corner.
- 7 Q. And what is that a picture of?
- 8 A. It's a group picture that contains David Barnett and
- 9 some other children. This is from his seventh birthday
- 10 party.
- 11 Q. Okay. And similarly on Exhibit W, is there a picture
- 12 there that is familiar to you?
- 13 A. Yes, on the bottom right corner, again, it's David's
- 14 | seventh birthday party. He is in the photo with other
- 15 children. There's a cake on the center of the table, and
- 16 everybody is set up to start refreshments.
- 17 Q. Okay. So David's birthday is May 18th, is it not?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And he spent that seventh birthday with you, didn't he?
- 20 A. Yes, he did.
- 21 Q. And then -- so these are pictures of David having a
- 22 party with you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, did there come a time when -- well, when
- 25 David came to you originally, was there a gift that you gave

- 1 him when he got into your -- when he was coming into your
- 2 home?
- 3 A. Yes. He had a stuffed animal, a cat, that he dearly
- 4 loved.
- 5 Q. And that was a cat that you gave him?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And did there come a time when you had to tell
- 8 David Barnett that you were going to be leaving?
- 9 A. Yes, it was not long after his seventh birthday. As I
- 10 said earlier in my testimony, I received an opportunity to
- 11 study in England, and we had to tell him that we were going
- 12 to be leaving.
- Q. Okay. First, so do you remember what you said to him
- 14 to tell him about that?
- 15 A. Not the exact words, but I did tell him that we were
- 16 | going to be leaving, my school was somewhere else, and I was
- 17 going there. And of course he did ask me if he could go
- 18 with.
- 19 Q. And what did you tell him when he asked if he could go
- 20 with you?
- 21 A. Mr. Biggerstaff, whom everyone assumed was David's
- 22 | biological father, had parental rights and had not
- 23 surrendered those. We told him his dad still wanted him and
- 24 | that he was eventually going to live with his dad.
- 25 Q. And did he -- before he left you, did he get to spend

- 1 | any time with his new foster family?
- 2 A. Yes. We made sure he was able to visit them and come
- 3 back to us ahead of time so that he could get used to them in
- 4 increments.
- 5 Q. And how did he respond to being told he was going to
- 6 have to leave you, what kind of feelings did he express?
- 7 A. He was not happy, I mean, you could tell. He was not
- 8 good at expressing himself, but he tried. He was not happy.
- 9 He was sad. He perhaps was even a little angry.
- 10 Q. And actually you mentioned he wasn't good at expressing
- 11 himself. What did you observe about his speech?
- 12 A. David is almost the same age as our daughter. And his
- 13 vocabulary was way smaller than I was used to with our
- 14  $\blacksquare$  children. He did not have the words to tell people when he
- 15 was angry or why he was angry or why he was sad. His
- 16 favorite expression was, "You're stingy." That covered
- 17 everything, everything bad.
- 18 Q. Now, did you testify at David Barnett's criminal trial?
- 19 A. I did during the penalty phase.
- 20 Q. And were you asked about some -- did you identify some
- 21 pictures during that testimony?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And was one of them of David with that cat we were
- 24 talking about earlier?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And let me ask you also, do you recall David having a
- 2 DFS worker named Mrs. Eshenroder?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So who contacted you to testify at David Barnett's
- 5 trial?
- 6 A. His defense attorney team, Ellen Blau, and I don't know
- 7 which person in the office, but that defense team.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you remember how they found you?
- 9 A. Through the Internet. They found actually my
- 10 father-in-law, who is a senior, and has the same name as my
- 11 husband, who is the junior, and through him they got
- 12 information to contact myself.
- Q. Did -- what kind of -- so did they then ask you to come
- 14 and testify at his trial?
- 15 A. Yes, they did.
- 16 Q. Okay. What kind of preparation did -- did Ms. Blau --
- was Ms. Blau the person who asked you the questions?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what kind of contact did you have with her before
- 20 you were --
- 21 A. Well, I received a phone call about my coming to trial,
- 22 and then when I was back in I believe it was Clayton, she
- 23 introduced herself to me, but that was the extent of our
- 24 conversation.
- 25 Q. Okay. So did she ever sit down with you or did anyone

- 1 ever sit down with you and say this is what we think you
- 2 know, this is what we think we're going to ask you?
- 3 A. I don't recall anybody doing that with me.
- Q. Okay. So you've testified today, so you've had a
- 5 chance to look at your trial testimony, haven't you?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you've testified today to some information
- 8 that wasn't included in that testimony, haven't you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Would you have testified to those same things at
- 11 the time of David's trial?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 Q. Would you, in fact, probably have remembered more
- 14 things about him at that point?
- 15 A. Perhaps. It's hard to say.
- 16 Q. Since David's trial have you continued to have contact
- 17 with him?
- 18 A. Yes, I have visited him regularly since the trial.
- 19 Q. Okay. And by "regularly," roughly how often?
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. Sometimes once a year depending on where I live. I
- 21 have been eight years abroad in the past 10 or 15 years. So
- 22 during those years I was only able to come once a year.
- MS. CARLYLE: I'll pass the witness. I don't have
- 24 any further questions.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Hawke.

1 MR. HAWKE: I have no questions, Your Honor.

then that you did not disclose to anyone?

EXAMINATION

## BY THE COURT:

2

3

- Q. I have reviewed your prior testimony carefully and
  everything is entirely consistent today with what you
  testified about previously. You mentioned if asked you would
  be willing -- what is there about Mr. Barnett that you knew
- 9 A. I'm not sure if I was asked the question. I did read
  10 the testimony from the trial, but I don't remember every
  11 detail. But his emotional state during the time he lived
  12 with us was not what I think of a typical child that age. He
  13 was often angry.
- 14 Q. You said he was frustrated?
- 15 A. He was easily frustrated. And you could tell there was
  16 a lot of underlying anger with this child.
- Q. You said he would get red in the face and cross his arms and say, "You're stingy"?
- A. Right, he did do that. He was slowly learning how to deal with that.
- 21 O. He was what?
- A. He was slowly learning how to deal with that, but he wasn't all the way there.
- Q. You mentioned that he went to counseling. What was there about it that -- as to why that was initiated. Is that

something DFS initiated?

- A. That was strictly a DFS initiative, and we were not told any of the details.
- Q. Okay.

THE COURT: You should go believing that your time with Mr. Barnett appears to be one of the happiest times of his life. You are excused.

Is there any way that those can be clipped so it doesn't destroy or recopied or something?

MS. CARLYLE: Yes. I think we can do that, you know. We may do it over the weekend, but we can fix that problem.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. CARLYLE: Your Honor, let me check with Mr. Sindel, he has the remaining witness, and I'm not sure if she's here yet. If she's not, I may ask we take a slightly early lunch break. Let me check with him.

THE COURT: That's fine. Sure. While we're doing that, I just want to compliment the individuals in the gallery today. Even the slightest sound from back there becomes very distracting and there hasn't been a single peep from anyone, and I appreciate that. That's something that I watch carefully. Sometimes there will be talking or sometimes there will be movement or something. And the intensity level in this well is so substantial that anything

becomes a distraction. So I thank you all for your 1 2 courtesies in not subtracting from this process. 3 Ms. Carlyle, I forgot, I have had scheduled for a long time an 11:30 status conference. 4 5 MS. CARLYLE: Well, the good news from my point of view is I don't think he's gone far, but I'm not sure where 6 7 Mr. Sindel is. So if we wanted to break for lunch now, I 8 think that might work out well for both of us. 9 THE COURT: We'll do a full one hour today and come back at 12:30. Thank you. Court's in recess. 10 (Court in recess from 11:24 a.m. until 12:33 p.m.) 11 MR. SINDEL: Call Barbara Eshenroder, please. 12 13 BARBARA ESHENRODER, 14 Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 15 follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. SINDEL: 18 State your name, please. I'm Barb Eshenroder. 19 Α. And what do you do? What's your job? 20 I work for Children's Division, I'm the quality 21 assurance specialist for the St. Louis region, that would be 22 23 St. Louis City and County Children's Division. And how long have you worked with the Division of 24 25 Children's Services? I had to ask you a tough question right

- 1 from the get-go, right?
- 2 A. I've been with -- I would say 32 years.
- Q. Okay. 32 years. Now, has it changed names over the
- 4 course of that 32 years?
- 5 A. Yes, it used to be Division of Family Services.
- 6 Q. And now is Division of Children's Services?
- 7 A. It's Children's Division.
- 8 Q. Children's Division. And was there a time when it was
- 9 Division of Social Services?
- 10 A. It's still the Department of Social Services, but they
- 11 created a new division when they created the Children's
- 12 Division separating it from DFS, the Division of Family
- 13 Services.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, can you tell the Court a little bit about
- 15 your training and your education? Let's start with
- 16 education.
- 17 A. I graduated with a bachelor's in psychology. Then I
- 18 became -- I earned my -- I became licensed, LCSW.
- 19 Q. When you say "licensed," what do you mean?
- 20 A. It's a Licensed Clinical Social Worker. It's a license
- 21 | through the State of Missouri, and it has to do with a level
- of professionalism and clinical level.
- 23 Q. So you have to have certain educational qualifications
- 24 as well as training qualifications --
- 25 A. Right.

- Q. -- internship before you can be licensed as a social worker; is that correct?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. And you've been licensed for some time, haven't you?
- 5 A. Yes, since '91, I believe.
- 6 Q. And you did testify at the first trial on behalf of
- 7 Mr. Barnett at the penalty phase; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. Now, we've got your education. Tell us a little bit
- 10 about your training, specifically as it pertains to your
- 11 professional relationship with David Barnett and his family
- 12 at the time.
- 13 A. My training, I received some training when I was
- 14  $\parallel$  promoted to a social service worker. That was about a
- 15 two-week training.
- 16  $\blacksquare$  Q. And there are a number of records that you reviewed on
- 17 this, but would it be fair to say that somewhere in late
- 18 | 1981, beginning of 1982 is when you began your work with
- 19 David Barnett and his family, if you want to call it that?
- 20 A. Yes, that's right. And may I interject here, it seems
- 21 like this is a good opportunity.
- 22 Q. Oh, yeah, I'm sorry.
- 23 A. No, that's okay. I'm ordered to request that the judge
- 24 order me to testify using the records in hand, and as
- 25 provided in Section 210 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri,

in order to be able to disclose information from the record.

and the order is ordered by me to give responsive testimony in this case, and that there is no violation of any statute by her in doing so. If she feels compelled at a later time because questions go beyond what she believes she can testify without violating some privilege, then she should speak up, otherwise this order grants her full privileges and immunity to testify.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. SINDEL:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q. Are you ready to go now?
- 13 A. Yeah, could you repeat that question?
- 14 Q. That pretty well covers it. Now, you have reviewed
- 15 certain records concerning your activities and your
- 16 professional responsibilities towards -- his name was David
- 17 Pullen then?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 | O. That's P-u-l-l-e-n?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Now, just so we know, let's identify some of the
- 22 players in that family. There was David Pullen, who is David
- 23 Barnett, and he was the child that you were responsible for
- 24 overseeing?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And there's some phrases in there, it talks about legal
- 2 custody and physical custody. Would you explain to us the
- 3 difference between legal custody and physical custody for the
- 4 record? I'm sure the Judge and everyone knows it, but --
- 5 A. Yes. In Missouri it separates the legal custody of a
- 6 child and the physical custody of a child, meaning that when
- 7 a child is, for example, placed into a foster home, the
- 8 court, the family court awards the Children's Division legal
- 9 custody, which means that we're responsible for his
- 10 well-being and care. And we're also responsible to find
- 11 appropriate placement for him. And the placement provider
- 12 then is the physical custodian.
- 13 Q. So would it be fair to say that at least within the
- 14 practice of the Division of Family Services back in 1982,
- 15 your first goal would be to try to place the child with the
- 16 natural parents, if possible?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then if not possible, maybe look to other
- 19 relatives?
- 20 A. Yes. A parent -- yeah, I'm sure that that was the
- 21 case. I was not involved in that phase of the program.
- 22 Q. I know that.
- 23 A. But I'm saying -- I'm assuming that that's so, yes.
- 24 It's -- the goal is to reunite a child with their family.
- 25 And since David was placed with a relative, that was

- considered a preference above that of a stranger or a foster parent, yes.
- Q. And during the time that you were working with this family from late 1981 until early 1983, did you ever have any contact at all with the natural mother?
- A. I read in my note in a report that I had a phone

  contact with her on one occasion and scheduled a home visit

  with her, but that was the one and only contact since she was

  not at the home when I attempted to visit.
  - Q. Did you have a home visit with the natural mother?
- 11 A. I went to her home, and she wasn't there. And so, no,
- I did not meet her at home. I did not hold a home visit with
- 13 her.

- Q. So we have David Pullen, and at the time who was David living with when you basically took the case over?
- 16 A. He was living with his Aunt Deborah.
- 17 Q. And was her last name Corder, C-o-r-d-e-r?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And who was at that time at least identified as the possible father?
- 21 A. That was Robert Biggerstaff.
- 22 Q. All right. And was there -- and was Robert Biggerstaff
- 23 living at Deborah Corder's house the entire time you worked
- 24 on the case?
- 25 A. No. I believe from what I am reading in my notes that

- he usually was not. He reportedly was living in and out of the home, but I don't remember reading that I ever saw him at
- Q. And in terms of there was a woman that's identified in your reports as Mrs. Biggerstaff, who was that?
- A. That was Robert's -- Robert Biggerstaff's mother,

  Deborah and Robert's mother, so she was the paternal

  grandmother.
  - Q. So Deborah's name had been changed as a result of marriage. Her maiden name would have been Biggerstaff. And Mrs. Biggerstaff, who was also Nina Biggerstaff, she was the grandmother who was in the home?
- 13 A. Yes.

3

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the aunt's house.

THE COURT: Wait a minute, she just mentioned

Deborah. Who is Deborah?

THE WITNESS: Deborah Corder, the aunt.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, I got that. And it's Nina is the grandmother. I got it, is Robert's mother. Okay.

MR. SINDEL: Okay. Can I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

- BY MR. SINDEL:
- Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
  Exhibit X. Plaintiff's Exhibit X. Ask if you could
  generally look through that and see if you can identify the

- 1 documents that are contained in that folder?
- A. Yes, this is all my information that I produced while I was the case manager working with David.
- Q. Now, there's other information that's part of the file
  that you kept, but these are a number of reports that you
  made concerning placement, court review, interoffice
  communications, and I think what's referred to as a PPPT, am
- 9 A. PPRT, Permanency Planning Review Team meeting.
- 10 Q. You're going to slow down when you say that.
- 11 A. PPRT, which is a case planning meeting for children who
  12 go into foster care.
  - Q. And are the records that I placed in front of you that have been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit X, are those basically the records that you have reviewed and also the records that are contained within the Division of Family Services?
- 18 A. Yes.

8

13

14

15

16

17

I right?

- Q. And I tried to make sure that they were in chronological order, so if I could, I'd like to ask you first, did you have information from David's school about problems he was experiencing at school?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And was that part of the information -- was that information part of what you used in trying to develop a

- 1 treatment plan?
- 2 A. Oh, yes.
- 3 Q. And when we talk about "a treatment plan," is that kind
- 4 of a goal-oriented plan to assist David in the issues he was
- 5 having at school and at home?
- 6 A. Yes, I think it might be termed case plan, treatment
- 7 plan, same thing.
- 8 Q. But the idea is that here's a plan we want to put in
- 9 place using the resources that we have that we think will
- 10 help David?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And was that something that you did throughout the
- entire period of time that you worked with this family?
- 14  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, I -- yes, it is. I did acquire feedback or input
- 15 from the school about his behavior within, you know, across,
- 16 over time.
- 17 Q. And in terms of trying to determine exactly what's
- 18 going on with the child, do you look to sources for that
- 20 A. Absolutely, yes. It's very important to acquire
- 21 information from a variety of sources so that we have the
- 22 best idea of what's happening in a child's situation,
- 23 especially where he's living in his placement, relative
- 24 placements and whatnot.
- 25 And it's also very important to involve input from

school officials, because that's a really important place —

I mean, that's a really major environment that a child is in

because he spends a lot of time in school. And we consider

school officials to be good sources of information and

reliable ones.

- Q. And in terms of sources of information, can you tell the Court some of the other sources of information that you might utilize in order to develop a treatment plan that was effective for David Pullen?
- A. Yes. We acquire information, for example, from therapists, psychologists, psychiatrists, to -- so that we know what the child's needs are. So it's a comprehensive assessment to identify what the needs are. And that helps us to create a plan to link him with services that are needed.
- Q. And in terms of, you know, kind of like drawing all these pieces and sources of information together, can you also utilize the family where he lives?
- That's right. That's -- the family, whoever the Α. placement provider is as well as the parents and all the family who are in contact with the child, they are considered to be really probably the most important source of information because they lend us an opportunity to know what may be happening with that child that might have caused the behaviors to come to this point. Like in David's, for instance, using his as an example, we would try to figure out

- 1 why David is behaving the way he is. And knowing what
- 2 happened to him while he was living at home or with the aunt
- 3 or, you know, before the agency got involved becomes very
- 4 important. And they are the only ones that really know that
- 5 information.
- 6 Q. And when you talk about an important source of
- 7 information, that would assume that they were willing to
- 8 share and provide information from the home?
- 9 A. Yes. We -- it's real important for us to make the best
- 10 effort possible to acquire this information from the family.
- 11 Q. Now, at that time and based on your training, would you
- 12 also try to establish a bond or a relationship with the
- 13 child?
- 14 ■ A. Yes, I would say now that that's very important. Back
- 15 then --
- 16 Q. Go ahead, I'm sorry.
- 17 A. Am I transporting myself back 32 years?
- 18 Q. Let me ask you a few questions about now, and then
- 19 we'll talk about then.
- 20 A. Okay. Thank you. Thanks.
- 21 Q. Do basically the Division of Family Services establish
- 22 a certain sort of either a protocol or policy as to how these
- 23 treatment plans and who --
- 24 A. Yes, we do.
- 25 Q. -- are those source of information?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Has that changed?
- 3 A. No. I don't know if it was developed 32 years -- I
- 4 don't know if it was in place 32 years ago, but I can tell
- 5 you it is certainly in place now. And if it wasn't in place
- 6 then, I can only suppose it's because it just -- I mean, I
- 7 don't know why, but --
- 8 Q. Did you -- do you recall whether you worked regularly
- 9 with David in an individual capacity to establish a bond with
- 10 him?
- 11 A. No, I did not. I observed him. He was present during
- 12 my home visits. I observed his behaviors, his interactions
- 13 with others. I spoke with him a few times, but I did not
- 15 talk to him and interview him.
- 16 Q. And in terms of the policy that exists now, you
- 17 understand that's part of what is used by the workers to try
- 18 to establish as much information as possible about a child's
- 19 needs?
- 20 A. Yes. It is considered to be -- children -- acquiring
- 21 information from children is considered to be a very
- 22 important source of information. And if there is -- the
- 23 environment -- if you -- for example, this gets a little
- 24 complicated, and I apologize ahead of time. There isn't any
- 25 set thing that says every time you go into a family's home,

you have to talk with all the children individually, separate in private. But there are circumstances in which it's advisable to do that if the environment is such that you're concerned that the child may not feel free to talk otherwise.

So if you want -- if you think that there is resistance with getting an honest interview and good, reliable information from any child during a home visit, then it's really important that you do take him apart from whoever is providing the placement, from other children, and go to a private place that's quiet and talk with the child individually.

- And in terms of your interactions with David, you said I think they usually occurred during the course of a home visit?
- Yes, or at school. Α.
- And in terms of the home visits, would that be when Deborah or her mother, Mrs. Biggerstaff, would be present?
- Yes. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Do you remember -- your impressions of David, was he talkative, was he verbose, or was he quiet and sullen?
- I remember reading in my notes that he was quiet, and 21 he could be described as sullen. I don't remember my exact 22 23 words, I'd have to look it up, but sullen is one of the adjectives I used. And -- but, yes, he was quiet, he was 24 sullen. And I did observe other things, but I can't say that

- 1 they reveal -- that --
- Q. Let's just for a second not talk about what you can't say.
- A. What I'm trying to say that with this whole big mass of information, there wasn't a whole lot of observing that I noted. And I'd have to pick it out to describe it to the Court. But it is in there. But it isn't -- it doesn't consist of a big, large mass of information.
- 9 Q. Okay. And it isn't certainly as a result of a one-on-one in private interview with David?
- 11 A. No, I never privately interviewed him.
- Q. And were there certain factors that you discovered
  about his -- from the information you got from the school
  that they believed he was learning disabled and behaviorally
  disabled?
- A. Yes, that was the conclusion arrived at the school evaluation, they call it an IEP.
- Q. And in terms of that, one of the contributing factors, was the home situation considered?
- A. Yes, it was -- yes, it was. It was -- the home situation was described as unstructured.
- 22 Q. Unstable as well?
- A. I don't think the IEP used that word, but I could say that there was an element of instability. Instability is a broad term. I would say unstructured is probably better.

- 1 Q. Now, referring to the Exhibit X that you have in front
- of you, there is the first report is dated March 29th, 1982.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. That's a report that you prepared?
- 5 A. Yes. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that is in your handwriting?
- 7 A. Oh, yes.
- 8 Q. And under the -- on the first page under personality
- 9 and behavior, is there an indication concerning aunt -- which
- 10 I'm going to say that's Deborah Corder. Is that right?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. -- is unable to control his behavior. Is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Now, so that would have been a factor that you were
- 15 considering in connection with how you were going to handle
- this child and this family and this home environment?
- 17 A. That's right. Yes, that was the idea was to help the
- aunt acquire the skills to manage David's behavior better.
- 19 Q. And I think you also on page 2 of that same document,
- 21 Behavior, that -- and this document when it says "W," does
- 22 that refer to you as "worker"?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24  $\square$  Q. "W" unable to observe David in natural setting. In the
- 25 last sentence of that particular section.

- 1 A. Oh, yes, that's right.
- 2 Q. All right. Now, you had -- you were dealing with
- 3 Deborah Corder, you were dealing with Nina Biggerstaff, and
- 4 on occasion you were dealing with Robert Biggerstaff; is that
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- 7 Q. And did you come to learn that David was spending
- 8 virtually almost all his time in the apartment that belonged
- 9 to his grandmother?
- 10 A. Yes, I did. Yes.
- 11 Q. And were you aware, was it reported to you that she was
- 12 pretty sick with emphysema?
- 13 A. Yes, I was informed that she was disabled, couldn't do
- 14 anything. That was according to the aunt. And that she had
- emphysema or breathing problems that were major.
- 16 Q. And did you also learn that David was an important
- 17 caretaker for the grandmother?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you think, was it your information that David wanted
- 20 to do that and enjoyed that obligation?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. It was my impression that that was -- that David
- 22 willingly did that, provided -- he acted as caretaker, wanted
- 23 to do that, yes.
- 24 Q. And is there indication under the heading on that
- 25 March 29th, 1982 report under, "Does foster family desire to

- adopt child if freed?" and you say comments, and in the second line you indicate that it's your belief that the child
- 3 spends almost all his time with his grandmother in her
- 4 apartment?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Were there other complications? "The grandmother is
- 7 really sick, Robert Biggerstaff isn't there very often." Did
- 8 Deborah have other health issues she was dealing with?
- 9 A. She was receiving a disability for a bad back, and she
- 10 was pregnant, due in July, that July, and had complications,
- 11 which she reported required her to have bedrest.
- 12 Q. And so that she was not only maybe emotionally unable
- 13 to take care of the child but also physically?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And in that same section there was an indication of one
- 16 of the outside sources she used is a woman named Patty
- 17 Kampsen, K-a-m-p-s-e-n?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And where did she work at the time?
- 20 A. Child Mental Health Clinic.
- 21 Q. And what did they do?
- 22 A. They are a State -- they were the State clinic through
- 23 Department of Mental Health at the time. They provide
- 24 counseling to families.
- 25 Q. And was there a particular reason why you had referred

1 this family to Patty Kampsen?

- A. Yes, I referred David to Patty in order for her to provide us with a comprehensive assessment of David's strengths and needs.
  - Q. And were you having any kind of difficulties with the family in attaining information?
    - A. Well, yes. Me personally?
    - Q. Yes.

- A. Yes. I had ongoing problems with the aunt sharing important information with me. There was a degree of ongoing pattern of passive resistance, not showing up for home visits. When asked questions, providing very little detail or information in response. And I'd asked her to fill out a behavior log that would help us target what kinds of interventions would be best so that she could manage David's behavior better and to get an idea of just how David was behaving, and she wasn't completing that as needed.
  - Q. Just a second here. When you talk about a behavior log, was that something that was part of a routine concept that was used by the Division of Family Services at that time to try to get as much information as possible from the caretakers?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And in terms of that log, I think you said that there were very brief descriptions which would describe either his

- 1 behavior or anything else that was going on?
- 2 A. From the aunt you mean?
- 3 Q. Yes.
- A. Yes, the information -- I don't have a copy of the log
  that Deborah filled out, just the one I filled out, but my
  notes and my report, it suggests that Deborah didn't fill out
- the form completely, which was a daily form. And most of her comments described David helping her take care of her baby.
- 9 Q. Or the grandmother?
- 10 A. I don't remember that. I just remember the baby.
- 11 Q. But, in other words, she wasn't really describing
- David, she was just saying he spends some time helping me
- 13 out?
- 14 A. Right. That's right.
- Q. And is there -- and when you asked for the report and
- 16 the information from Patty Kampsen that we referred to, did
- she talk to you at all about her ability to pry out of these
- 18 people any information that might be helpful to David's
- 19 treatment plan?
- 20 A. Yes, in her report she mentioned that she had
- 21 difficulties acquiring the information she needed to complete
- 22 the assessment.
- 23 Q. And that was -- that would be parallel to your own
- 24 experience with the family?
- 25 A. That's right.

- Q. Was there ever an indication that you had either from your contacts or from the records that you reviewed that the
- 3 aunt wasn't -- was opposed to giving out information and any
- 4 information being released to Division of Family Services?
- 5 A. Yes. Yes. Patty Kampsen had reported in her report
- 6 that the aunt did not -- stated to her that she did not want
- 7 me to have any information on what she was informing Patty
- 8 about, that it was none of our business.
- 9 Q. And that was specifically directed to you as the
- 10 assigned caseworker?
- 11 A. Yes, and that she disliked me.
- 12 Q. And that -- did you understand whether or not Deborah
- Corder ever opened up to anyone concerning what was actually
- 14 going on in that household involving David?
- 15 A. I don't know of anyone she opened up to that reported
- 16 back to me.
- 17 Q. And did she seem reluctant to give you information
- 18 concerning the child's behavior or basically anything else?
- 19 A. That's right. I was -- I could not view her as -- she
- 20 wasn't viewed by me as a source of information about what was
- 21 happening in the household. I never acquired a good sense,
- 22 for example, of what was happening in the household with
- 23 David.
- 24 Q. And in terms of when you interview parents in that
- 25 capacity that you were working at that time, was it unusual

- for you to be able to have to pry this information out or did usually parents try to volunteer information that they think is going to be helpful to their child?
  - A. That's a hard question to answer because I had limited experience with uncooperative parents. So I guess I would have to say it's very unusual for me. I was in my work with the families that I had in my caseload, I didn't usually have any problems working with them. They were open and we had good communication and dialogues.
    - Q. So this was a behavior that was unusual because in your experience the families that wanted to help their children were more than willing to be open with you and share information?
  - A. You know, I can't really say that that's that cause and effect. I just don't know. It might be that I was good at my job and I engaged families easily. I think that was a quality that I had. But I think there's always the potential for a parent to need some encouragement and support from the social worker that meets them for the first time in order to open up about what's happening.
  - Q. But did you try to indicate to Deborah, to

    Mrs. Biggerstaff, to Robert Biggerstaff, that you were
    interested in helping them?
- 24 A. I'm assuming so. I can't --

25 Q. If you hadn't, you wouldn't have been doing your job?

- A. Right. I would be very surprised if I didn't work very
- 2 hard at that. But I can't remember exactly how that went.
- 3 Q. And there's references throughout your notes to
- 4 attempts at home visits that couldn't be completed because
- 5 they weren't there?
- 6 A. Right. Yes. I was -- I did have -- there were a
- 7 pattern of attempts to make home visits with Deborah that
- 8 were not successful.
- 9 Q. And there was significant indications throughout those
- 10 notes that appointments that were made either to secure
- information about the child's health or counseling, they
- 12 weren't kept, right?
- 13 A. Yeah, I had problems getting that information. And --
- 14 it's clear that I was having problems getting that
- 15 information, yes.
- 16 Q. And in terms of did you also on page 3 of the report
- 17 that we referred to indicate that you were also attempting to
- 18 meet with Robert Biggerstaff?
- 19 A. Yes. Yes.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. And you were assuming he was the father, correct?
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22  $\blacksquare$  Q. Did you -- were you aware of whether his name appeared
- on the birth certificate or not?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. And your attempts to meet with the father, they didn't

- 1 | meet with success at that time, right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. Now, at the bottom of the page under the heading
- 4 Comments, there is a reference to information that
- 5 Mr. Biggerstaff spends his time in bars?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. And has been known to take David with him into bars?
- 8 A. Yes, in the past.
- 9 Q. And that the brother is believed to be an alcoholic,
- 10 Robert Biggerstaff?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And that Mr. Biggerstaff, in fact, admitted he was an
- 13 alcoholic to you?
- 14 A. Yes, he did later.
- 15 Q. And then on page 4 of that particular report under
- 16 Comments, right there about a little bit past the halfway
- mark, there is a reference to a long-term plan?
- 18 A. Yes. "A long-term plan to be determined after
- 19 considerations defined -- described above. After we continue
- 20 | to supervise child in the relative home and to make note of
- 21 the results of the evaluation at Child Mental Health and of
- 22 child's progress regarding his behaviors at school and at
- 23 home."
- 24 Q. So you wanted to get some additional information
- 25 because you were concerned about what long-term plan that you

- could put together that would be beneficial to this child, correct?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. All right. Now, I'm going to refer you, there's
- 5 another report, this is the PPRT presentation, it's dated
- 6 April 15th, 1982. Now, just so we know, these reports that
- 7 you made to the court, are they something you do on a
- 8 periodic basis so that the court knows what's happening with
- 9 this child?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And these PPRT presentations, are they also done on a
- 12 routine and regular basis?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And in those particular situations you report to the
- other members of the team about what you have learned so
- 16 | there could be some consolidated effort to get a plan in
- 17 place.
- 18 A. Yes, the PPRT information is provided to the team at
- 19 the time of the meeting. And at the end they write
- 20 recommendations on the bottom of the report, of the PPRT
- 21 report.
- 22 Q. And if you would look on the April 15th, 1982, there's
- a heading called application or -- I'm sorry, Appropriateness
- of Present Placement, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And that was basically sort of an area for you to enter
- 2 the information that you had about whether or not living with
- 3 Deborah Corder, Nina Biggerstaff, and here and there with
- 4 Robert Biggerstaff was an appropriate placement, right?
- 5 A. Right.
- Q. Do you indicate there towards the latter part of that
- 7 that it was in your mind questionable whether that was an
- 8 appropriate placement?
- 9 A. Yes, I did. I wrote down that it was questionable that
- 10 the aunt would be able to meet David's special needs.
- 11 Q. And those special needs weren't being met either
- 12 through ignorance or physical incapabilities?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. "Ignorance," that was Deborah Corder, right?
- 15 A. Right. For whatever reason, and I did state either
- 16 | that she didn't know how to do it or because she was unable
- 17 or physically unable or incapable to do it.
- 18 Q. And do you also indicate in that particular section
- 20 David was spending about 100 percent of his time with his
- 21 seriously disabled grandmother?
- 22 A. Yeah, I said, "He spends over half of his time in his
- 23 grandmother's apartment to date. It may be 100 percent of
- 24 the time. He sleeps and eats with her. Sleeps in her bed in
- 25 her apartment."

- 1 Q. Now, at some time in April of 1992 did you become aware
- 2 that Robert Biggerstaff had been incarcerated at the St.
- 3 Louis Workhouse. If you'll look past that April 15th report
- 4 there's a letter.
- 5 A. The next report?
- 6 Q. 1982.
- 7 A. I wrote, "The letter alludes to the reason why the
- 8 child came into care" -- or let's see -- "He was incarcerated
- 9 in the St. Louis Workhouse at the time, around the time David
- 10 came into foster care, and placed into his aunt's home."
- 11 Q. So that was another problem that the family was
- 12 experiencing, that Robert Biggerstaff, whatever support he
- was, certainly wasn't providing it while he was incarcerated?
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. And then if you'll look underneath that, there is an
- assessment that is dated on May 28th of 1982.
- 17 A. I have it.
- 18 Q. And that's from -- is that from Patty Kampsen, we
- 19 talked about earlier?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And, again, in that particular assessment she says,
- 22 | "I'm not getting information from mom, and grandma is very
- 23 sick and can't really help."
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. Now, there's also a reference to a woman on the last

- 1 sentence of the first page, Diane Foster?
- 2 A. School counselor.
- 3 Q. And who is that, the school counselor?
- 4 A. School counselor.
- 5 Q. And were you in contact with the school counselor in
- 6 order to obtain as much information as you could from
- 7 whatever source you felt was reliable so you could develop an
- 8 appropriate treatment plan?
- 9 A. I was -- I was in contact with school officials through
- 10 reports that I sent to them, and they were submitted back to
- 11 me. And I might have referenced in my reports to the court
- 12 that I talked with her, I can't remember.
- 13 Q. Is there a reference in Ms. Kampsen's report about
- 14 having talked to Diane Foster?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And does it indicate she has not experienced any
- 17 cooperation on the part of the family, particularly his aunt?
- 18 A. Yes, that's what it says.
- 19  $\blacksquare$  Q. And would that be consistent with what you had
- 20 experienced in your dealings with her?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now, there's a discussion in that report that you use
- in order to try to formulate a treatment plan about the
- 24 family history, right?
- 25 A. What part?

- 1 Q. Page 2, Personal and Family History.
- 2 A. Oh, yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And it says that very little is known?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. And there were some circumstances involving Deborah's
- 6 husband that caused you concern, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And what was that?
- 9 A. The circumstances I was informed was that he had a
- 10 heroin addiction.
- 11 Q. So when he lived in the house, there was somebody
- 12 shoving needles in their arms and taking heroin? He was a
- 13 heroin addict is what you understood?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And did you also understand how he treated Deborah
- 16 while he was there?
- 17 A. Yes, there was domestic violence involved. They had a
- domestic violence involved relationship.
- 19 Q. And then there's an indication that they did eventually
- 20 reunite for a short period, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. In that section?
- 23 A. At one point.
- 24  $\blacksquare$  Q. And that lasted, what, a couple of days until after
- 25 they got custody of David?

- 1 A. You know, that was before I got involved, so I don't
- 2 know anything about that reunification, about that period,
- 3 but I think they later on in the course of my working with
- 4 the family, I think they got back together.
- Q. And was there an indication in that report that they
- 6 separated right after the court hearing concerning --
- 7 A. Yes, that's true.
- 8 Q. Now, did Patty also try to observe and talk with David
- 9 in order to make some assessment?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And is that under the heading there, Observation and
- 12 Assessments of Identified Patient?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14  $\blacksquare$  Q. Did she indicate anything about when he answered
- 15 questions what would happen?
- 16 A. Yes, he wouldn't elaborate on certain questions. On
- 17 the question about his statement that he made to her that
- Debbie gets him in trouble, and he wouldn't elaborate on his
- 19 relationship with his aunt or grandmother. He did tell her
- 20 he spent most of his time with his grandmother while Debbie
- 21 and a bunch of people lived downstairs. And he appears close
- 22 to his father according to the counselor, and he did say he
- 23 enjoys the times he gets to see his father. And he said
- 24 wishes he still lived with his father.
- Q. Now, also in that observation and assessment on page 3

- of the report, from her contacts with David, she basically indicates he would have a positive response to what?
- A. He would respond in an environment that would provide
  him with a consistent structure and one that would respond to
  his emotional needs.
- Q. And did you throughout the time that you tried to work with this family, were you concerned about that very aspect of David's life?
- A. All I could tell you, because I don't have a memory of my impressions outside of this information that I'm reading,
  I could say that I did not read any description of observations of a bonding or closeness or affection that I might have observed between Deborah and David. I didn't see any of that. But I saw there was there was affection and bonding between David and Robert Biggerstaff.
  - Q. And that was sort of a -- I mean, you saw those things.

    Was there behavior that you observed on the few times you saw

    Mr. Biggerstaff that caused you concern?
  - A. With Mr. Biggerstaff?

17

18

- 20 Q. Yeah. Did he ever take your photograph?
- A. Oh, that was -- I kind of thought that was against me.

  It was more targeted on me and not David. But I remember

  when I was supervising visits --
- MR. HAWKE: Objection, Your Honor, it's not relevant.

THE COURT: I'm not sure it's relevant. 1 2 sure it's responsive. I don't understand what she's saying. 3 BY MR. SINDEL: Was there certain behavior that Mr. Biggerstaff 4 5 directed towards you while he was supposed to be visiting with his son that caused you discomfort and concern? 6 7 Yes, during a supervised visit --Α. 8 MR. HAWKE: Objection, the question was a yes or no 9 question. THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. 10 Explain your answer. When you said yes, what happened? 11 Q. Mr. Biggerstaff asked me to stand next to David so he 12 13 could -- and then he took our picture, and I was 14 uncomfortable with that. 15 THE COURT: He asked you to stand by David? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, so he could take my picture. 17 I didn't say no, I just did it. And I was uncomfortable, but 18 he took my picture with his son David. BY MR. SINDEL: 19 And under the Observations and Assessments of Family 20 that was in the report that was prepared by the social 21 22 worker, Patty Kampsen, does she basically renew and repeat 23 the concerns about the family and their failure to open up

A. Yes, she does talk about that.

and provide information?

24

Q. Now, as a result of what she does and the information that you're trying to input in for a treatment plan, does she make any recommendations?

THE COURT: Patty?

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

22

25

MR. SINDEL: Patty Kampsen, yes, sir.

- A. Yes, she does make recommendations.
- Q. And in terms of the recommendation, does she indicate whether she believes that treatment will be possible if there isn't full cooperation and involvement?
- 10 A. She said, "I do not believe that this aunt and other
  11 family members will cooperate and support the therapeutic
  12 process."
- Q. Does she also discuss her doubts about the home setting?
  - A. She has serious doubts as to how much this home setting will be able to provide for David's various needs.
  - Q. And then does she come down to a recommendation after a conference with you concerning alternative treatment plans?
- A. Yes. She recommends a conference with me advising me
  of her impressions and discussing the availability and
  feasibility of an alternative placement for David.
  - Q. What does "alternative placement" mean?
- A. That means a different placement other than the one he's in.
  - Q. Now, if you'll go to a letter dated July 26th, 1982

- 1 that you sent to the supervisor at the St. Louis County
- 2 Juvenile Court.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And that's an indication concerning Deborah Corder and
- 5 her being hospitalized to give birth to a baby?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is there a date of when that happens?
- 8 A. Yes, she went into the hospital to have her baby on
- 9 June 3rd, 1982.
- 10 Q. And it was your understanding that around that time he
- 11 would stay with Robert Biggerstaff?
- 12 A. Yes, because -- yes.
- 13 Q. And there were other people in the Biggerstaff -- it
- wouldn't be his home, but where he stayed; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And there was a woman he was staying with named Vanessa
- 17 and her two children?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And he indicated he planned to marry her, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Sounded like a good idea. How long did that
- 22  $\blacksquare$  relationship last according to the letter that you have?
- 23 A. It lasted until June 25th when he ended their
- 24 relationship. Well, actually when they ended their
- 25 relationship.

- 1 Q. And then later on did you learn that David was, in
- 2 fact, staying with Nina Biggerstaff because his father was
- 3 out of town?
- 4 A. No. On that letter it says --
- 5 Q. Next page.
- 6 A. Oh, sorry. I don't have -- I have that --
- 7 Q. "I learned from Mrs. Biggerstaff," you see that in the
- 8 sentence that begins, second page?
- 9 A. Yes. "I learned from Mrs. Biggerstaff" -- oh, yes. It
- 10 says that "Ms. Nina Biggerstaff told me that David's father
- is still out of town, the baby -- Deborah's baby is still
- 12 hospitalized, and that David continues to live with her and
- 13 Deborah."
- 14 Q. Now, after that particular letter there's a document
- 15 that's labeled Foster Home Placement Request. Do you see
- 16 that?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. That's dated August 18th, '82?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. Now, what is that about? What is a Foster Home
- 21 Placement Request?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. It's a form that I filled out to refer a -- to make a
- 23 request for a home -- finding a unit within the agency to
- 24 locate a foster home placement for David.
- 25 Q. Were you making -- go ahead, I'm sorry.

- A. I made the referral to the home finding unit.
- Q. You're making this referral and this request because of
- 3 what you had observed and learned about Deborah Corder, about
- 4 Robert Biggerstaff's alcoholism, about Deborah Corder's
- 5 husband, and all the other variable things you talked about?
- 6 MR. HAWKE: Objection, Your Honor, leading.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 MR. SINDEL: I'm sorry, I'll rephrase.
- 9 BY MR. SINDEL:

- 10 Q. You've talked about a whole lunch of stuff here. Was
- 11 that the basis for your recommendation that he be considered
- in other placement?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And there is at the bottom of the page basically your
- assessment that the placement with the aunt was inadequate?
- 16 ■ A. Yes, that the aunt's placement -- "Placement with aunt
- 17 was judged to be inadequate. And a home study on father
- 18 resulted in determining that his placement with father was
- 19 not adequate. Therefore, foster home placement was being
- 20 considered -- is being considered."
- 21 Q. And then on the second page of that particular thing is
- 22 there an indication under the heading Relative Resources that
- 23 didn't appear to you that you could foresee any changes in
- 24 | the circumstances that would make that a viable alternative?
- 25 A. Yes, that --

- 1 Q. The last sentence.
- 2  $\blacksquare$  A. The arrest -- that the father had the arrest record,
- you mean, or the one that says family?
- 4 Q. "W" cannot foresee --
- 5 A. Oh, okay. "Worker unable to locate the mother.
- 6 Family has -- there's significant evidence of lack of
- 7 structure in the environment long term. We can't foresee
- 8 changes in the situation in the near future."
- 9 Q. Now, the next document in that packet is dated
- 10 October 4th of 1982. Again, this is a letter to the Juvenile
- 11 Court. And is that part of what you do?
- 12 A. Yes, we report -- we keep the court apprized of the
- 13 circumstances.
- 14 Q. And there's basically on the second page, you continued
- 15 basically in your recommendation to take that child out of
- 16 the home?
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. There's also an interoffice communication that's in
- 20 efforts to find a home for David?
- 21 A. Yes, I've requested them to -- they said resume efforts
- 22 to find a home for David.
- 23 Q. And part of your concerns was that Deborah had moved
- 24 and had not registered him in school as of October 5th. In
- 25 fact, October 5th, the date of that report or that memo?

```
A. Yes, it's October 5th, 1982, and it does state that

Deborah moved to the city with her newborn and David, and

David had surgery and -- to unclog his nose passages due to

breathing problems, and he hadn't been registered in the

school district.
```

- Q. Do you remember how long it took for her to get him registered in school?
- A. No, I'd have to look at the notes.

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 9 Q. We'll get to that. But there's a second -- there's a
  10 page to that report to where you wrote on the back, and it
  11 basically continues in the same vein as the others, right?
  - A. The back of -- I don't see anything. I'm not sure what you're talking about. The back of what report?
    - Q. In the report that we referred to, just so we're clear, there is an indication that you continue on the back side.

      And I'm just saying there's a page there that continues. It says Roman Numeral V, continue, c-o-n-t. If you're looking that far back you may not have it there.
    - A. What is the date of the report you're referring to?
- Q. It's the report dated --
  - THE COURT: October 4?
- 22 Q. -- October 12th, '82.
- THE COURT: October 12th?
- MR. SINDEL: October 12th, yes.
- 25 A. Oh, I see. I got it.

- Q. And that basically continues in the same vein as all the others.
  - A. Would you repeat your question?
- Q. Does that basically continue in the same vein as the others in terms of the cooperation you were getting and the
- fact that the home was inadequate?
- A. Yes. It actually says that, "I was unable to determine the aunt's ability to provide adequate care for David due to difficulties in meeting with her in home visits and failure, her failure to cooperate or communicate openly with me about
- Q. Now, there is an addendum to that recommendation that's called Addendum for Relative Placement; is that correct? Can you see that there?
- 15 A. In the same report?

what was happening."

16 Q. Yes.

3

11

- MR. SINDEL: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 18 A. I see the addendum.
- Q. And that is basically the addendum that you put into
  these reports to say, hey, what can we do about maybe placing
  this kid with his relatives?
  - A. Right, it provides additional information.
- Q. And at the bottom there, there's a place where you can put in information about the relative's response to services, right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And is there an indication in there about the failure to register the child in school?
- A. Yes. I wrote that, "Ms. Corder failed to register the child in school when first requested to do so. Failed to make appointments for child for exam to determine
- 7 hyperactivity."
- Q. Was that appointment with a hospital or a doctor? Do you recall if there was an appointment at Cardinal Glennon?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- Q. But whatever it was, she failed to make the appointment or make one, right?
- A. Yes, she failed. And she failed to call for an appointment at the Family Children Services for therapy. I know that's for therapy.
- Q. And then under the next page it refers to basic information?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And there's a paragraph 11. "Who does the mother state 20 is the father of the child?" And you have written in there
- 22 A. Right.

"Unknown"?

- Q. And then the next page talks about a father's addendum?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And did that indicate that Mr. Biggerstaff didn't

```
participate in family counseling? On the bottom
 1
 2
      right-hand --
 3
            Right, I see it. Yes, it says, "Father failed to
      Α.
      meet -- father didn't participate in family counseling."
 4
 5
      Yes, it says that.
 6
            Was there also a reference to a log book?
 7
            Yes, he did not present the log book concerning his
 8
      observations of David's behavior at the home visit on
 9
      11/23/82 nor at any other time.
10
            Now, after that document there's a document that's
      0.
      labeled Court Review Short Report; is that right?
11
12
      Α.
            I guess so.
            Dated 11/24/82?
13
      Q.
14
               MR. SINDEL: May I approach, Your Honor?
15
               THE COURT: Yeah.
16
               MR. HAWKE:
                           I'm sorry, what was the date on that?
17
               MR. SINDEL: 11/24/82.
18
      BY MR. SINDEL:
19
            Can you see that document?
      Q.
20
      Α.
            Yes.
21
            All right. Tell -- just real briefly, what's that?
      What's that for?
22
23
            I was wondering the same thing when I first saw it --
      Α.
```

Is that your handwriting?

-- why I wrote it. And I don't remember filling it

24

25

Α.

- out, but I know I did. And I can describe it for you. It's
  a log of the date and the person and the people I contacted
  in the course of my working with the family, the type of
- 4 contact it was, and any comments about the contact, and
- 5 submitted it to court.
- Q. And then you submit that to the court. And it describes the nature of the contact and who you had the contact with, right?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. And what, if anything, you learned, right?
- 11 A. Right.
- Q. And is there under the -- on the right side under
  comments is there a -- under the second document, is there a
  reference to the paternity statement from Mr. Biggerstaff?
- 15 A. Yeah, it says father refused to sign a paternity
  16 statement for Janice Glass according to the income
  17 maintenance supervisor.
- Q. And throughout this document, you detail the information that you learn from various sources, your attempts to contact individuals, that whole thing on a date-by-date basis, is that a true statement?
- 22 A. Yes, it's quite extensive. Lots of contacts.
- Q. And in terms of on the third page of that document with the first one, I think it's the fourth page, it starts with Mr. Biggerstaff?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. You see that? It looks like the date is something
- 3 25/82?
- 4 A. Yes, I see it.
- 5 Q. There's an indication about Mr. Biggerstaff refusing to
- 6 provide information about his employment. No, I'm sorry, I
- 7 think I referred you to the wrong place. I'm talking towards
- 8 the middle.
- 9 A. I found it.
- 10  $\square$  Q. It's a date of 26/82, I don't know the month for sure.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Refused to verify income?
- 13 A. He said he quit his job because his employer refused to
- 14 verify the income for the home study that I was conducting.
- 15 Q. So his -- the reason he didn't complete the home study
- was because his employer refused to verify he had any income?
- 17 A. No, I don't believe so. I believe that in the course
- of him attempting to comply with the home study request,
- which included his employment history or whether or not he
- 20 was gainfully employed, we frequently ask parents to provide
- 21 evidence that he was employed, and his employer refused to do
- 22 that.
- 23 Q. That's what he reported to you?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And at least in this particular report do you go

- 1 through and sort of like document the contacts that you've
- 2 had with people and what you learned from them?
- 3 A. Yes, it's exactly that.
- 4 Q. All right. Now, if you'll look to a page of the
- 5 report, they are not paginated unfortunately, but it says
- 6 person contacted, and shows November 4th of 1982, and it's
- 7 Deborah Corder. You see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is there information there about you need to get the
- 10 child registered in school?
- 11 A. Yes. It has that I helped her to register David at
- 12 school by calling the school for information. And the aunt
- 13 appeared to give David an excessive amount of responsibility
- 14 concerning her baby at that home visit. This information was
- 15 collected at a home visit, during a home visit. And
- 16 indicated she was baby-sitting for her nephews, and it tired
- 17 her. And she was to register David today for school.
- 18 Q. So at least your understanding was that by November the
- 19 4th of 1982, some two months after school started, he still
- 20 wasn't registered?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22  $\blacksquare$  Q. And then in the final page of that Court Review Short
- Report, it begins with St. Vincents, Ms. Dorsey?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And who is Ms. Dorsey and what is St. Vincents?

- A. St. Vincents is a residential treatment facility. St.
- 2 Vincent's Children's Home probably.
- 3 Q. So 20 days after Ms. Corder had failed to register
- 4 David for school, you were asking around for possible
- 5 placement in long-term residential facilities?
- 6 A. Well, I would -- it's not necessarily long term, for
- 7 the long term, but it was a residential treatment facility.
- 8 Q. And when you say "residential treatment facility," what
- 9 does that involve?
- 10 A. They are considered a highly -- compared to a foster
- 11 home or a family's home, they are considered a higher degree
- 12 of structure.
- 13 Q. Was that something that you felt was needed for David
- 14 as a result of the information you secured from all these
- 15 sources?
- 16 A. I can't -- I do not know.
- 17 Q. Well, you were looking for a place to place him,
- 18 weren't you?
- 19 A. Yes, I was. I don't know -- I can't tell from this
- 20 paper or from my information I reviewed if the preference was
- 21 for David to go into residential treatment as opposed to a
- 22 foster home.
- 23 Q. But you are definitely considering an alternative
- 24 place?
- 25 A. Excuse me, may I elaborate on that? I remember just

now reading that a reply from the home study or the home, the unit -- the home -- the unit in which they were trying to find a home for David within the agency, they were not able to find a foster family that would be willing to take a child with behavior problems, so I think that's why I chose to search for a placement in a more structured setting.

Q. And so, you know, part of the process, if I understand it right, is you look to see if there's a foster home, and then if there isn't, you might look for another possible placement besides staying in the Corder, Nina Biggerstaff home?

MR. HAWKE: Objection, it was leading and wasn't what she said to begin with.

MR. SINDEL: Well, I think we have the picture.

BY MR. SINDEL:

- Q. I'm going to refer you to this, the letter dated November 29th, 1982 that you sent to the Juvenile Court concerning David, correct?
- A. Yes, I see it.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And that basically is a summary of all the circumstances and situations that you have that caused you to reach a determination about placement?
- 23 A. Progress? It starts, "The progress made by David"?
- Q. It's a lengthy letter, it talks about service

25 agreements, David's behavior at home?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. David's behavior at school?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. And your assessment and recommendation?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. And it was that he be removed from that house and
- 7 placed in another facility, correct, or another home?
- 8 A. It's a recommendation --
- 9 Q. Correct.
- 10 A. -- that he be placed in residential treatment as
- opposed to his aunt's home, as it was believed that it would
- be more appropriate and will ensure security and stability
- and eliminate the possibility of him being moved from one
- 14 place to another.
- 15 Q. Now, was there a time that you can recall that David
- 16 was actually placed with another family?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And I'm going to refer you to your -- the final report
- 19 there, it's dated April 20, '83.
- 20 A. The PPRT review?
- 21 Q. The foster child PPRT review.
- 22 A. Okay, I see.
- 23 Q. For David Pullen. Do you see that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you see the date of April 20, '83?

- 1 A. Yep.
- 2 Q. And that basically says there's a reason for custody,
- 3 and then his progress at his new family, correct, under Roman
- 4 Numeral V?
- 5 A. Oh, V, five? Okay. Got it.
- 6 Q. See that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You don't have to read it, I'm just asking if you see
- 9 that.
- 10 A. Yes, I see that.
- 11 Q. And does that indicate his progress with this new
- 12 family?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- 14 Q. Seems to like school?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Motivated?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Isn't destructive, sad, or overly serious?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And he likes the family?
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. Do you remember if that family was the Reames family?
- 23 Are you able to recall their names?
- 24 A. I remember it from the report it was the Reames, but
- 25 no, I don't recall without reading it in the report.

- 1 Q. And under Roman Numeral VI, Placement Plan. You see
- 2 that section?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. And there's a reference to counseling with a Dr. Doris
- 5 Gilpin, G-i-l-p-i-n?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. D-o-r-i-s?
- 8 A. Yes, I see it.
- 9 Q. And that's a psychiatrist you use frequently?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And to your knowledge were they keeping those
- 12 appointments on a regular basis?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, do you recall of your own independent memory
- 15 without looking at all the documents that you have in that
- 16 Exhibit X, the day that David was turned over to this new
- 17 foster family, the Reames?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And can you tell us what you recall about that
- 20 particular day?
- 21 A. I remember very clearly that David was upset, very
- 22 upset to have been removed from his family, and that he was
- 23 very quiet and timid, I guess in a way, uncertain. And he
- 24 was clutching a stuffed animal really tightly.
- Q. What kind of animal?

- 1 A. It was a cat.
- 2 Q. Was it old or new?
- 3 A. I believe it was new.
- 4 THE COURT: Did you say he was clutching?
- 5 A. Clutching, clutching tightly to his chest.
- Q. Do you remember, do you have an independent memory of whether that animal came from the Reames family or not?
- 8 A. Not really.
- 9 Q. Now, the information that you testified to, the records
- 10 that you reviewed and everything, were you able to testify
- and review those records back in 1997 when you testified at
- 12 the penalty phase of David's proceeding?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And if you had been asked the questions you were asked
- 15 here today, would you have answered them truthfully like you
- 16 have today?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And would you have been able to provide information
- 19 ☐ concerning David's home environment, what was going on, and
- 20 why there was a decision to place him with the Reames or some
- 21 other family?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, do you recall meeting with any members of the
- 24 defense team prior to your being -- prior to testifying at
- 25 the penalty phase?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. And you did testify at the penalty phase, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And there was a significant portion of your testimony
- 5 that dealt with the fact that at a court hearing for David's
- 6 placement, that the Corder's failed to bring him to court,
- 7 the Biggerstaffs?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. And eventually it resulted in a warrant for their
- 10 arrest and a contempt citation?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And as you testified to at that proceeding, after a
- whole lot of stuff, they finally brought the child to the
- court so that he could be placed?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And do you -- do you remember at any time withholding
- any of the information that you testified to here today from
- any members of the defense team?
- 19 A. No.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. And if they had asked you these -- for the information
- 21 during any kind of investigation, would you have provided it?
- 22 A. Yes, I would have.
- 23 Q. And to your knowledge were the records that we have
- 24 referred to today, subpoenaed and part of the records that
- were developed during the course of their investigation? If

- 1 you don't know, you don't know.
- 2 A. Would you repeat that last sentence?
- Q. I will. Do you know whether the Petitioner's Exhibit X
- 4 was part of the records that were furnished to the defense
- 5 team by the Juvenile Court?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- 7 MR. SINDEL: That's all I have.
- 8 THE COURT: Cross.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. HAWKE:
- 11 Q. Good afternoon. I have a few questions I need to ask
- 12 you in light of your testimony this afternoon. I could tell
- 13 from your direct -- from your direct testimony that you
- 14 recall the day when custody changed to the Reames family. Is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Other than that memory, do you have other memories of
- 18 the David Barnett case?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Independent of the records?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And is it fair to summarize your testimony as
- 23 he -- well, who had legal custody of David Barnett at the
- 24 time that we're discussing here?
- 25 A. DFS did.

- 1 Q. DFS did. And the physical custody was with
- 2 Mr. Biggerstaff or with Ms. Corder or with the grandmother?
- 3 A. With Deborah Corder.
- 4 Q. Okay. And he had been placed with her at some point
- 5 before you got involved in the Barnett case?
- 6 A. Yes, he was apparently according to information --
- 7 Q. That was a yes/no question.
- 8 A. All right.
- 9 Q. And as I understood your testimony, a decision was made
- 10 to change custody from Deborah Corder to somebody else
- 11 because of the lack of structure in the Corder home; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes. May I explain my answer?
- 14 Q. It was a yes/no question. And that recommendation was
- 15 consistent through time; is that correct?
- 16 A. What recommendation?
- 17 Q. That once the recommendation was made, that
- 18 recommendation continued until custody actually changed?
- 19 A. I'm not sure I understand.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. Let's go at it this way. There was -- from your
- 21 perspective there was a lack of structure in the Corder home?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And there was not contact or discussions between
- 24 you and other members of DFS and school employees to change
- 25 that idea that there was a lack of structure in the Corder

1 home?

- 2 I can't agree with that. Α.
- 3 Q. Didn't I understand your testimony that the aunt did not cooperate with you? 4
- 5 Yes. Α.
- And did not cooperate with the school counselor? 6
- 7 Yes. Α.
- 8 So because of that lack of cooperation, the 9 recommendation that he -- his custody change from the Corder home to someplace else continued; isn't that correct? 10
- That would be fair to say. May I explain further on 11 Α. the recommendation because --12
- 13 Is it fair for me to characterize this as a 14 recommendation was made and the Corder family was given 15 multiple opportunities to change someone's mind about that 16 recommendation, and they failed to do that?
- 17 I quess so. Α.

21

23

- 18 Okay. Mr. Biggerstaff did not sign a statement admitting paternity of David Barnett; is that correct? 19
- 20 That's my understanding.
- Okay. And that he would not be -- if he had signed 22 such a statement, would he have been obligated to provide child support to --
- MR. SINDEL: I'll object to that as a legal 24 25 conclusion. Subject to foundation, I have no problem if she

answers. 1 2 THE COURT: What you're saying is if she knows the 3 answer, you don't have any objection. MR. SINDEL: If there's some foundation for her 4 5 knowing how she knows the answer. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand the question, 7 first of all? 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Now, is there some basis in fact that you would know one way or the other? 10 THE WITNESS: No. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 13 BY MR. HAWKE: 14 In November of 1982 that aunt still had not placed 15 David Barnett in school, was that correct? 16 November, what date? Α. 17 November 4, 1982, I believe you testified --Q. 18 Correct. Α. -- that the child -- that you went and helped her 19 20 register the child or made telephone calls? 21 I made a phone call, yes, that's correct. Α. 22 And I also believe you testified that there had been 23 surgery earlier in that?

Okay. And do you have a memory of whether David -- how

24

25

Α.

Correct.

- 1 long it took David Barnett to recover from that surgery?
- A. No, I don't. But I can tell you what the information in the record does discuss.
- 4 Q. The St. Vincent Home, you -- is it possible that you
- 5 contacted the St. Vincent Home hoping to discover that there
- 6 was a bed or placement available for Mr. Barnett?
- 7 A. I assume so.
- 8 Q. Did you warn Deborah Corder the consequences of not
- 9 cooperating with the Division of Family Services?
- 10 A. I don't have any memory of that.
- 11 Q. Your records do not reflect whether you encouraged her?
- 12 A. Correct. It doesn't state any discussion with her on
- 13 that issue.
- 14 MR. HAWKE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.
- 15 THE COURT: Redirect.
- 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. SINDEL:
- 18 Q. Would it have been your practice or -- let me change
- 19 that. Would it have been your practice to encourage the
- 20 woman or the family that had legal custody of a child to
- 21 cooperate with the Division of Family Services?
- THE COURT: Physical custody? You said legal
- 23 custody.
- 24 Q. I'm sorry, physical custody.
- 25 A. Yes. And their -- yes.

- Q. Can you think of any reason in the world why you wouldn't have encouraged them?
- A. No, I'm sure I did encourage to engage her. I

  encouraged her to be -- I tried to engage her. That was

  clear -- the implication is very strong that that was the

  decision in one of the PPRT meetings that we continue the

  placement and for me to continue to encourage cooperation and
- 9 Q. And in November 1982 when she and Mr. Biggerstaff did
  10 not bring the child into court, was that an indication of her
  11 willingness to cooperate?
- 12 A. No.

participation.

8

- Q. Can you think of -- other than kidnapping him and removing him across state lines, can you think of any other, basically you guys get out of our lives type approach to this whole thing?
- 17 A. It's pretty clear that she wasn't intending on cooperating.
- 20 Q. So whether or not you told her or not it was a good idea to cooperate, it was very clear to her that she should?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, you made that clear throughout the entire time you dealt with the family, didn't you, we need your help?
- 25 A. I'm hoping I did.

Q. What?

1

- 2 A. I'm hoping I did. I don't have any memory of any -- I
- don't have specific memories of that.
- 4 Q. You urged her to enroll the child in school?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You said there was an entry in September?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Now, he said to you about prior
- 9 placement with a family, and you wanted to explain your
- 10 answer, and he said no, just yes or no question. Do you
- 11 recall that?
- 12 A. Yeah. I think it was the word -- it seemed like a
- 13 limited -- I think that was the time where there was an
- 15 the structure of the family, the lack of structure, and
- 16 | that's not the case. I just wanted to explain that.
- Q. Okay. So there was two questions. And there was one,
- 18 do you know whether or not the Division of Social Services or
- 20  $\blacksquare$  that child be placed in the home of an alcoholic father or a
- 21 heroin addict abuser? Do you know if they recommended that,
- 22 or was that the condition that existed by the time you took
- 23 over the case?
- 24  $\blacksquare$  A. I -- at that point I never dealt with -- it was very
- 25 rare in my experience to have a case that involved the court,

- I believe. Because I was in the treatment unit, and that by
  definition is intact families. I think David's case was rare
  for me. I usually have families that were not involved with
- 4 court.
- Q. Did you -- when you answered the question concerning lack of structure --
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. -- was that the only reason that you could think of that he might need to get out of that house?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Were there many others that you've already testified to?
- A. Yes. And the most important one was the lack of information of what was happening. The lack of information from the aunt and the grandmother about what was happening in the home so that we can ensure that he was safe and ensure his well-being.
  - Q. So there was absolutely no free exchange of information between the people who had physical custody, and you as a representative for the people who had legal custody?
- 21 A. Right.

18

19

20

- Q. And so in your opinion you couldn't at all be comfortable that David was safe in that environment?
- A. That's true. I didn't know what was happening.

MR. SINDEL: That's all I have.

## EXAMINATION

$\hat{}$			~~
2.	ΒY	THE	COURT

Q. Just as a point of explanation, I have information about your earlier testimony, and then I've heard your testimony today. In the question I'm about to ask, I'm not trying to catch you or trick you up or anything like that, it's important for me to know what was spoken previously and what additional information came in today.

I understood from reading your prior testimony, again, bachelor's degree in psychology, social worker, Division of Family Services, worked there — you became involved with David Pullen, his father, Robert Biggerstaff and other members of the family, became involved in supervising and placement of Mr. Barnett with his aunt in November 1981.

November 1982, you made a recommendation to remove Mr. Barnett from the family. The family appeared unstable. Mr. Barnett had no routine and his behavior did not improve. Family was reluctant and resistant in working with the DFS. They failed to provide information on what was happening with Mr. Barnett, John Barnett, and the aunt.

Debbie Corder was instructed to bring Mr. Barnett to court, they -- when I say Mr. Barnett, that's the petitioner. They did not. A capias warrant was issued and she went looking for Mr. Barnett, the petitioner. Deborah Corder was

arrested. Deborah's husband became involved. And Mr. Corder and a friend brought Mr. Barnett to the courthouse. She delivered custody to Mr. Barnett.

You delivered custody of petitioner to foster care. Parents were Mr. and Mrs. Calvin Reames. Before the transfer occurred, you had supervised seven visits of Mr. Barnett with his father. She said Mr. Barnett was always happy to see his father. He hugged him. There was touching, sitting in his lap, playing games together, a lot of smiles. On the very first visit Mr. Biggerstaff did not appear, he could not find a ride. You noticed a change in Mr. Barnett's behavior. He drew a picture of a cowboy shooting an Indian. And when you delivered Mr. Barnett, petitioner, to the Reames family, Mr. Barnett exhibited unusual behavior. He was very active.

When you took him from the family and delivered him to the Reames family, he was six years and seven months old. You were involved with the supervision for about a year and a half.

So is there anything I just said that's inconsistent with what you may have said at the prior testimony?

- A. I don't know of anything that would be inconsistent.
- Q. One thing that came up, you mentioned Vanessa as a person who was romantically or ostensibly romantically involved with Robert Biggerstaff. There's testimony that Mr. Barnett was seriously injured in a bathtub. A female was

seated by him. And I remember seeing something in all the records I read that that name of that person was Vanessa. Do you know anything about that?

A. I don't remember anything. I don't know.

Q. The suggestion is a strong one that Vanessa is the one that assaulted him. I'm just curious.

I was a state court judge. This has nothing to do with anything, but since you're here and I'm here, I'll ask a question. That's not a very good reason. I was a state court judge for 17 years and I did all the juvenile work for that time. And I made a foster mother who was a very good friend and good foster mother really came at me like a biting sow when I said, I have recently learned that the best foster home is not as good as the worst biological home. Could you explain that a little bit, of the importance of the biological family?

A. Yes. In my studies, because I have an MSW now -- but in my experience I believe it's true that children, it doesn't matter how they are treated by their parents, they can be severely abused, neglected over time, terribly so, but they will never lose their attachment for their parents, they will never stop loving them. And the goal -- it's the last resort always to remove a child for that reason from parents. And it is only done when their safety is at risk.

THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.

I keep records of exhibits admitted. I have asked that five copies of my slightly over two pages be distributed to counsel. I've asked five copies be prepared. Where it says W, that means witness. Where it says pages, that means my pages. I'm up to page 153. The name, the exhibit is identified. I try to write clearly so you can read what I'm writing. On the right side, the times are just the time the witness appeared. If there's a check mark, it's that exhibit was received. If there's a blank line, that means it perhaps had some court involvement but hasn't yet been received.

So I'm just going to circulate those to look at so you make sure we're all kind of operating with the same information.

Unless anyone wants to come in on Monday, we'll probably take up on Tuesday at 8:30.

MR. SINDEL: 8:30 Tuesday. Have a happy holiday to all the court personnel, all the people from Potosi, and to the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Court's in recess.

MR. SINDEL: And to the spectators.

THE COURT: Will perspective counsel have disclosed about the witnesses?

MR. SINDEL: I believe we have furnished a list to Mr. Hawke already of the witnesses. If that changes, I'll let him know.

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 1
 2
               MR. HAWKE: And that's appreciated.
               THE COURT: Thanks to the officers for your care of
 3
      Mr. Barnett. Court is in recess.
 4
 5
                (Court in recess at 2:09 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## 

## CERTIFICATE

I, Susan R. Moran, Registered Merit Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the proceedings in the above-mentioned court; and that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct, and complete transcript of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not attorney for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this action, nor financially interested in the action.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 - 159 and that this reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at St. Louis, Missouri, this 16th day of September, 2014.

/s/ Susan R. Moran Registered Merit Reporter